

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COMMISSION ADVISORY AND COMPLIANCE DIVISION RESOLUTION T-14817
Telecommunications Branch February 5, 1992

R E S O L U T I O N

RESOLUTION T-14817. PACIFIC BELL. ORDER CONCERNING
PACIFIC BELL'S REQUESTS TO PROVIDE CENTREX SERVICE
UNDER CUSTOMER SPECIFIC CONTRACTS TO THE CUSTOMERS
LISTED BELOW.

<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>ADVICE LETTER NO.</u>	<u>DATE FILED</u>	<u>ANNUAL REV. IMPACT</u>
1. Cedars-Sinai Medical Ctr.	16151	01/06/92	\$-760,433
2. Earl and Wright	16139	12/20/91	10,356
3. John Rico Publishing Co.	16149	01/06/92	-4,407
4. Solano County Economic Opportunity Council, Inc.	16120A	12/23/91	-4,400
5. Yakima Products, Inc.	16150	01/06/92	-5,347

SUMMARY

Pacific Bell (Pacific), by the Advice Letters listed above, requests authority under provisions of General Order No. 96-A (G.O. 96-A) and Decision Nos. (D.) 88-09-059 and 91-01-018 to deviate from filed tariff schedules in order to provide Centrex service to the respective companies listed above, under customer specific contracts.

This Resolution authorizes Pacific to provide Centrex service to the above companies under contract at rates which are discounted from the tariff rates. Pacific estimates that the revenue impacts of these filings for the first year of the contract are as listed above. No protests to these Advice Letters were filed.

BACKGROUND

In D.88-09-059 the Commission adopted a modified Phase I Settlement (hereinafter referred to as the Settlement). Under the provisions of the Settlement, the Local Exchange Companies (LECs) are allowed to provide certain services, such as Centrex service, under the terms of contracts between LECs and customers. The Settlement provides that such contracts become effective upon authorization by the Commission.

The process and requirements for filing of advice letters to request authorization of customer specific contracts are set forth in Appendix A of D.88-09-059. Additional specifications for advice letter filings requesting authorization to provide Centrex service under contract are provided in Resolution Nos. T-13091 and T-13069.

D.90-04-031 further requires that special contracts comply with the principles of unbundling, nondiscriminatory access, imputation, and basing rate structures of monopoly utility services on underlying cost structures. However, D.91-01-018 has tempered this requirement until a further decision on this matter is issued. In the interim, LECs may file advice letters for Centrex contracts using the pricing methodology approved by the Commission in D.88-09-059.

Centrex is a central office based communications system equipped with primary station lines capable of receiving direct in-dialed calls and capable of direct out-dialing of calls with optional features.

Under the terms of the contracts, Pacific agrees to provide Centrex service as listed below. Recurring charges for growth lines are at the contract rate. Nonrecurring charges for growth lines will be at the prevailing tariff rate.

<u>COMPANY</u>	<u>NO. OF LINES AT CUTOVER</u>	<u>MONTHLY RATE</u>	<u>TERM (YRS)</u>
1. Cedars-Sinai Medical Ctr.	4,000	\$50,028	5
2. Earl and Wright	100	1,351	5
3. John Rico Publishing Co.	100	1,400	3
4. Solano County Economic Opportunity Council, Inc.	105	1,563	5
5. Yakima Products, Inc.	129	2,647	3

NOTICE/PROTESTS

Pacific states that copies of the Advice Letters were mailed to competing and adjacent utilities and/or other utilities and to the customers named in the contracts. Also the Advice Letters were listed in the Commission's Daily Calendar.

No protests have been filed on the above Advice Letters.

DISCUSSION

In reviewing the Advice Letters, we note the following.

- a. The contracts contain the necessary language which conditions their approval upon Commission authorization.
- b. The Advice Letters and the contracts are public documents.
- c. Pacific requests in the Advice Letters that the workpapers and supporting cost documentation associated with the contract be treated as confidential.

February 5, 1992

d. Pacific has offered the parties to the Phase I Settlement in I.87-11-033 the opportunity to receive and review the workpapers and supporting documentation associated with the contract if such a party (Division of Ratepayer Advocates excepted from this requirement) first enters into a protective agreement.

e. The contracts provide for the offering of Centrex service which is an appropriate service for offering under a contractual arrangement.

f. The rates and charges set forth in these contracts cover the direct embedded costs of providing the Centrex service offered under the terms of these contracts.

g. The monthly rate per line, excluding nonrecurring charges, for Centrex service under the terms of the contracts is greater than the sum of the present one-party business measured service rate and the multi-line End User Common Line charge per month per line (LMB+EUCL).

h. The Advice Letters indicate that the costs and revenues associated with the contracts will be tracked.

i. A contract is required in these cases because the customers require fixed price contracts that are competitive with PBX alternatives; this requirement cannot be met by the Centrex service available under Pacific's present tariff.

j. Pacific has offered to provide the customers deaveraged PBX trunk rates under contract, at rates determined by the same cost methodology used to determine the contract Centrex line rates.

We conclude that the Advice Letters meet the requirements set forth in the previously mentioned Commission orders and G.O. 96-A, and should be approved. However, we must emphasize that our approval is based on the specifics of these Advice Letters and the associated contracts, and does not establish a precedent for the contents or for Commission approval of similar requests.

FINDINGS

1. Pacific Bell filed the above Advice Letters on the dates listed requesting Commission authorization to provide Centrex service to the above companies under customer specific contracts.
2. The Advice Letters and the contracts conform to the requirements of Decision Nos. 88-09-059 and 91-01-018, Resolution Nos. T-13069 and T-13091, and G.O. 96-A.
3. Authorization of these contracts will result in estimated annual revenue impacts as listed above.
4. Commission authorization of these Advice Letters and these contracts does not establish a precedent for the contents of the filings, or the Commission approval of similar requests. Commission approval is based on the specifics of these contracts.

February 5, 1992

5. The rates, charges, terms and conditions of the Centrex contracts in this Resolution are just and reasonable.


THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Authority is granted to make the above Advice Letters and the associated contracts between Pacific Bell and the above listed companies effective on February 6, 1992.

2. The Advice Letters, tariff sheets and contracts authorized herein shall be marked to show that they were authorized under Resolution of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California No. T-14817.

The effective date of this Resolution is today.

I certify that this Resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on February 5, 1992. The following Commissioners approved it:



NEAL J. SHULMAN
Executive Director

DANIEL Wm. FESSLER
President
JOHN B. OHANIAN
PATRICIA M. ECKERT
NORMAN D. SHUMWAY
Commissioners