PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Copy for:

Orig. and copy to Executive Director	
---	--

RESOLUTION NO. W-3336

EVALUATION & COMPLIANCE DIVISION BRANCH/SECTION: Water Utilities DATE: August 18, 1986

 Director
 Numerical File Alphabetical File
 Accounting Officer

RESOLUTION

CRYSTAL FALLS WATER COMPANY (CFWC). ORDER AUTHORIZING A GENERAL RATE INCREASE PRODUCING ADDITIONAL REVENUE OF \$57,540 OR 28.2% IN 1986 AND A FURTHER INCREASE OF \$6,900 OR 2.6% IN 1987.

CFWC, by draft advice letter furnished to the Water Utilities Branch (Branch) on December 12, 1995, requested authority under Section VI of General Order 96-A and Section 454 of the Public Utilities Code to increase rates for water service by \$63,210 or 31.5% in 1985, \$9,500 or 3.6% in 1986, and an attrition allowance of \$9,500 or 3.6% in 1987. These requested rate increases would produce rates of return of 11.3% for the test years, 1985, 1986, and 1987, respectively. The utility serves about eight flat rate and 1,155 meter rate customers in Willow Springs, Crystal Falls, Mono Vista, Oak Gardens Estates and Apple Valley Estates service areas. In addition, CFWC sells water for resale to Sonora Meadows Mutual Water Company (SWMC). All of these systems are located about 10 miles east of Sonora, Tuolumne County.

The present rates for water service became effective on February 4, 1982 from an offset rate increase authorized by Resolution No. W-2934. The last general rate increase became effective on September 20, 1980 pursuant to Decision (D.) 92186, dated September 3, 1980. A Safe Drinking Water Bond Act (SDWBA) loan payment surcharge authorized in D. 93048 has been in effect since May 19, 1981 and was extended to the Oak Garden Estates Sublivision following the addition of that system in 1984.

The Branch made an independent analysis of CFWC's summary of earnings. CFWC submitted 1985 and 1986 test year summaries of earnings and treated 1987 as an attrition year. Since the rate proceeding is being processed in 1986, the Branch prepared 1986 and 1987 test year estimates. Appendix A-1 shows CFWC's and the Branch's estimated summaries of earnings at present, requested, and adopted rates for test year 1986. Appendix A-2 shows the Branch's estimated summary of earnings at present, requested and adopted rates for test year 1986. CFWC did not prepare expense estimates for 1987 because it considered 1987 an attrition year.

The significant differences in the 1986 test year are in revenues, purchased power, materials, insurance, vehicle expense, and rate of return.

CFWC estimated revenue at present rates as \$204,580 while the Branch estimated \$204,310. CFWC made an error in calculating the quantity blocks for the Oak Gardens Estates and Apple Valley Estates service areas which was corrected prior to designing the rates shown in the Notice to Customers. For the Oak Gardens Estates system, CFWC used the minimum charge rate for 5/8 x 3/4-inch meters when all 46 meters on the system are 3/4-inch. For Sonora Meadows, CFWC proposed reducing the service charge because of continuing protests from SWMC. The Branch, however, recommended that SWMC receive an increase proportional to the overall CFWC increase.

CFWC estimated purchased power expense at \$24,390 while the Branch estimated \$23,200. The Branch estimate reflects a rate reduction by Pacific Gas and Electric Company that became effective June 9, 1986.

CFWC estimated material expense of \$13,000 while the Branch estimated \$5,700. CFWC's original workpapers for this item were in error and it was unable to substantiate its estimate. The Branch's estimate is based on the average of those material costs the utility was able to substantiate over the last three years, adjusted by a non-labor escalation factor. All of the Branch's escalation factors were based on a recent Data Resources, Inc. publication.

CFWC estimated \$15,400 for insurance expense, projecting a 20% increase over 1985 recorded premiums. The Branch estimate of \$14,400 is based on the latest information from the insurance company and includes the actual premiums to be paid in 1986.

CFWC estimated vehicle expense of \$15,000 while the Branch estimated \$8,780. CFWC's estimate was determined by multiplying the estimated annual mileage by \$0.205 per mile. The \$0.205 per mile is reasonable when insurance and depreciation are not included as separate expense items, but those two items are duplicated elsewhere in the summary of earnings. The Branch used the average of the latest three years recorded costs and applied the non-labor escalation factor to determine its 1986 estimate.

CFWC requested an attrition allowance of \$9,500 or 3.6% for 1987. That figure was derived as the same amount it requested for 1986 over 1985. The Branch computed a summary of earnings for 1987, including customer growth and new plant that will be completed in 1986 as part of the 1987 rate base. To arrive at 1987 expense estimates, the Branch multiplied all of the expense items, except purchased water and purchased power which are eligible for offset rate relief, by the appropriate labor or non-labor escalation factors.

CFWC estimated its proposed rates would produce an 11.3% return on rate base. The Accounting and Financial Branch of Evaluation and Compliance Division reviewed CFWC's capital structure and recommended a rate of return of 11% as reasonable.

CFWC was informed of the Branch's differing views of revenues, expenses, rate of return and attrition and has stated that it accepts the Branch's estimates. Notice of the proposed increase was mailed to each customer on April 2, 1986. Night letters of protest were received. All complained about the magnitude of the rate increase. One customer, SWAC, complained about the service charge.

SMAC alleged that the present service charge is discriminatory and should be reduced. D.92186 (September 3, 1980) found that the then-effective rates to SMAC would be discriminatory unless they were raised substantially, and that SMAC had substantial equality of bargaining power in dealing with CHWC. The Branch notes that each of the service charge increases since that time were negotiated and agreed to in contracts by SMAC. The Branch recommends that the service charge to SMAC be increased to ensure that it continues to pay its fair share of the costs of providing water service.

The Branch has drafted a letter to SXMC and another to all other customers who have written to the Commission about this rate increase (Appendices E-1 and E-2. They explain the Commission's action and will be mailed after this resolution is signed.

A field investigation of CFWC's system was made on April 4, 1986 by a member of the Branch. Visible portions of the water systems were inspected, customers and company employees were interviewed, and methods of operation reviewed. The Branch found the system and the service satisfactory. There are no outstanding Commission orders requiring system improvements.

CFWC proposed to switch the Oak Gardens Estates Subdivision system tariff schedule for metered service from its present minimum charge rate to the Crystal Falls rate schedule for metered service. Because the Oak Gardens Estates schedule is \$6.50 for the first 800 cubic feet of water, the increase to customers on the Oak Gardens Estates system would have been about 91% for a customer using 300 cubic feet per month. The Branch felt this was too large an increase and suggested reducing the minimum from 800 cubic feet to 500 cubic feet and increasing rates to get the same percent increase in revenue as the Crystal Falls system. CFWC agreed, and those rates were shown in the Notice to Customers.

CFWC's present rate structure conforms to the Commission's model rate structure with a service charge, 300 cubic feet lifeline-block, and an inverted tail block. The rates for all classes of service, including flat rate service, were increased approximately the same percent as the overall percent increase. Monthly bills for a typical full time residential customer will increase to \$17.33 from \$13.64 for the test year 1986. A comparison of present and Branch recommended rates is shown in Appendix C.

D.86-05-064 established a flatter rate design as Commission policy for vater utilities in future general rate proceedings. That change was not implemented in this proceeding because the decision was issued after CFWC sent its notice to customers and no protests were received which addressed this aspect of the proposed increase. Rates may be revised to conform to the new rate structure in the next proceeding.

The Branch recommends that the Commission authorize a two-step increase of \$57,540 or 28.2% in 1986 and \$6,900 or 2.6% in 1987. At rates contained in

Appendix B, these increases would raise the estimated annual revenues from \$204,310 at present rates to \$261,850 in 1986 and to \$272,500 in 1987 and result in an 11% rate of return on rate base.

The Commission's opinion, after investigation by the Branch is that:

- a. The Branch's recommended Surnary of Earnings statements (Appendices A-1 and A-2) are reasonable and should be adopted.
- b. The rates recommended by the Branch (Appendix B) are reasonable and should be authorized.
- c. The quantities (Appendix D) used to develop the Branch's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

THE COMMISSION FINDS that the increased rates hereby authorized are justified and that the present rates are, for the future, unjust and unreasonable.

IT IS RESOLVED that:

1. Authority is granted under Public Utilities Code Section 454 for Crystal Falls Water Company to file an advice letter incorporating the Surmaries of Earnings and revised schedules attached to this resolution as Appendices A-1, A-2, B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4, B-5, and B-6, respectively and concurrently to cancel the presently effective rate schedules. Such filing shall comply with General Order 96-A.

2. The effective date of the revised rate schedules shall be the date of filing.

3. This resolution is effective today.

I certify that this resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on August 18, 1986. The following Commissioners approved it:

VICTOR R. WEISSER Executive Director

Fry 1 . -

DONALD VIAL President VICTOR CALVO PRISCILLA C. GREW FREDERICK R. DUDA FREDERICK R. DUDA STANLEY W. HULETT Commissioners

-4-

APPENDIX A-1 CRYSTAL FALLS WATER COMPANY Semmary of Darnings (Estimated Year 1986)

s

;		: Utility	Istimated	: Branch]	Btimated	1 1
t			: Requested			
:	Iten	: Rates	: Rates	: Rates	Rates	:Adopted:
Annatin	a Dattan ina					
	g Revenues	\$176 210	¢275 710	\$174 E00	\$777 600	\$222 760
retered	-Crystal Talls	\$176,340	. \$235,740	\$174,590	\$233,600	\$222,760
	Oak Gardens	5,600	11,570	7,080	11,820	9,450
Thet	Sonora Meadows	21,700	29,580 540	21,700 250	34,080 540	28,450 330
Flat	Oak Gardens	250 690	900	690	900	860
Øat	Crystal Falls al Revenues	\$204,580	\$278,330	\$204,310	\$280,940	\$261,850
100	at nevenues	\$201,000	\$210, JM	42041 210	4200, 240	4201,000
Operatin	g Expenses					
	sed Water	16,125	16,125	16,125	16,125	16,125
Parcha	sed Power	24,390	24,390	23,200	23,200	23,200
Employ	ee Labor	40,200	40,200	40,200	40,200	40,200
Fateri	-	13,000	13,000	5,700	5,700	5,700
Water '	Treatment Maint.	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Contra	ct Work	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
Pump M	aintenance	4,280	4,280	4,280	4,280	4,280
Office	Salaries	16,830	16,830	16,830	16,830	16,830
Managa	ment Salaries	34,635	34,635	34,635	34,635	34,635
Office	Supplies & Exp.	8,350	8,350	8,350	8,350	8,350
Insura	nce	15,400	15,400	14,400	14,400	14,400
Accoun	ting, Legal, etc		3,250	3,250	3,250	3,250
	1 Expense	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
	e Expense	15,000	15,000	8,780	8,780	8,780
Office	& Storage Renta		2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
Regula	tory Expense	930	930	930	930	930
Employ	ee Pension & Ben	e. 9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000
Tot	al Expenses	\$228,790	<u>\$228,790</u>	<u>\$213,080</u>	\$213,080	\$213,080
Devee	· • • • •	0.404	0.401	0.070	0.070	0.070
Deprec	-	9,101	9,101	8,830	8,830	8,830
	ty Taxes	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900
Other		7,640	7,640	7,640	7,640	7,640
Income		200	200 5757 671	200	200	200
101	al Deductions	\$252,631	\$252,631	\$236,650	\$276,650	\$236,650
Net Re	venue (loss)	(48,051)	25,699	(32,340)	44,290	25,200
Average	Rate Base					
	y Plant	872,750	. 872,750	872,750	872,750	872,750
	iation Reserve	(333,115)	(333, 115)	(333,115)	(333,115)	(333,115)
Net Pl		539,635	539,635	539,635	539,635	539,635
Les		(65, 180)	(65, 180)	(65,180)	(65, 180)	(65,180)
	Contribution		(303,680)	(303,680)	(303,680)	(303,680)
Plu			44,000	44,000	44,000	44,000
	Matls & Supp		14,000	14,000	14,000	14,000
Rate Bas		228,780	228,780	228,780	228,780	228,780
Rate of		Loss	11.3%	Loss	19.4%	11.0%
						-

APPENDIX A-2 CRYSTAL FAILS WATER COMPANY Surnary of Earnings (Estimated Year 1987)

:	: Utility	Estimated	E Branch	Estimated	; ;
ŧ		: Requested	I: Present	Requested	: :
:Item	: Rates	: Rates	: Rates		:Adopted:
Total Operating Revenues			\$208,060	\$284,100	\$272,500
Operating Expenses					
Purchased Water			16,125	16,125	16,125
Purchased Power			23,200	23,200	23,200
Enployee Labor			41,365	41,365	41,365
Materials			5,780	5,780	5,780
Water Treatment Maint.			2,060	2,060	2,060
Contract Work			13,380	13,380	13,380
Pump Maintenance			4,400	4,400	4,400
Office Salaries			17,320	17,320	17,320
Nanagement Salaries			35,640	35,640	35,640
Office Supplies & Exp.			8,460	8,460	8,460
Insurance			14,590	14,590	14,590
Accounting, Legal, etc.	•		3,340	3,340	3,340
General Expense			10,290	10,290	10,290
Vehicle Expense			8,900	8,900	8,900
Office & Storage Rental	L		2,430	2,430	2,430
Regulatory Expense			960	960	960
Employee Pension & Bene	.		9,260	9,260	9,260
Total Expenses			\$217,500	\$217,500	\$217,500
Depreciation	•		9,300	9,300	9,300
Property Taxes			7,200	7,200	7,200
Other Taxes			7,860	7,860	7,860
Incone Taxes			200	200	200
Total Deductions			\$242,060	\$242,060	\$242,060
Net Revenue (loss)			(34,000)	42,040	30,440
Average Rate Base					
Utility Plant			924,299	924,299	924,299
Depreciation Reserve			(358,435)		
Net Plant			565,864	565,864	565,864
Less: Advances			(62,180)	(62,180)	(62,180)
Contribution			(287,406	(287,406)	
Plus: Working Cash			46,000	46,000	46,000
Matls & Suppl	เร		14,500	14,500	14,500
Rate Base:			276,780	276,780	276,780
Rate of Return			Loss	15.19%	11.0%

* Utility did not provide surmary of earnings estimates for 1987

Schedule No. 1

METERED SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service.

TERRITORY

Oak Cardens Estates Subdivision and vicinity near Soulsbyville. (T)

RATES

Ninimæn G	narge:	Per Meter	Per Month Effective 6/1/87	Per Meter Per Month Surcharge (1)
For For For For Quantity	3/4-inch meter 1-inch meter 1-1/2-inch meter 2-inch meter Rates:	15.50 27.20	\$10.80 (I) 16.10 28.30 40.90 (I)	(D) \$ 7.45 12.40 24.80 39.68

First	500 cu.ft. or less 500 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft.	10.40 (I)	10.80 (I) (C)
Over	500 ca.ft., per 100 ca.ft.	0.53 (I)	0.55 (I) (C)
	• • •		(D)

A minimum charge will entitle the customer to the quantity of water which the minimum charge will purchase at the Quantity Rates.

METERED SERVICE SURCHARGE (1)

Note: The Surcharge is in addition to the regular monthly metered water bill. The total monthly surcharge must be identified on each bill. This surcharge is specifically for the repayment of the California Safe Drinking Water Bond Act loan as authorized by Decision 86-04-015.

Schedule No. 1A

ANNUAL METERED SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water furnished on an annual basis.

TERRITORY

Willow Springs, Crystal Falls Ranch and vicinity, located approximately two miles southwest of Twain Harte, Tuoluane County.

RATES

Annual Ser	vice Charge:	<u>Per l'eter</u>	Per Year Affective 6/1/87	Per Meter Per Month Surcharge
For For For For	3/4-inch meter 1-inch meter 1-1/2-inch meter 2-inch meter	180.00	\$127.00 (I) 186.00 225.00 312.00 (I)	\$ 3.90 6.50 13.00 20.80
Quantity	Rates:	Per Neter	Per Month	
Dirot	300 ou ft non 100 ou ft	0.66 (1)	0 67 (T)	

First	300 cu.ft.,	per	100 ca.ft.	0.66	(1)	0.6/	(1))
Over	300 cu.ft.,	per	100 ca.ft	0.74	(1)	0.67 0.76	(I))

The Service Charge is a readiness-to-serve charge, which (T) is applicable to all metered service and to which is to be added the monthly charge computed at the Quantity Rates. (T)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. In the event that a customer reinstates service within 12 months of termination of service for the same premises, a reconnection charge will be applied, equal to the service and surcharge charges which would have been billed had the customer not terminated service.

2. The cost of service pipes and meters shall be borne by the utility. Relocation of such facilities shall be at the expense of the party requesting relocation.

Schedule No. 2 RA

ANNUAL RESIDENTIAL FIAT RATE SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all flat rate residential water service furnished on annual basis.

TERRITORY

Willow Springs, Crystal Falls Ranch and vicinity, located approximately two miles southwest of Twain Harte, Tuolumne County.

RATES

TES	Per Service Per Y		Per Service Connection Per Month Surcharge
For a single-family residential unit, including premises	\$168.00 (I)	\$186.00 (1)	\$ 3.90
For each additional single- family residential unit on the same premises and served from the same service connection	142.20 (1)	147.00 (I)	2.60

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The above flat rates apply to a service connection not larger than one inch in diameter.

2. For service covered by the above classification, if the utility so elects, a meter shall be installed and service provided under Schedule 1A, Annual Metered Service, effective as of the first day of the following calendar month. Where the flat rate charge for a period has been paid in advance, refund of the prorated difference between such flat rate payment and the minimum meter charge for the same period shall be made on or before that day.

Schedule No. 1A - AV

ANNUAL METERED SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water furnished on an annual basis.

TERRITORY

Apple Valley Estates, located approximately 2.75 miles north of Sonora, Tuolumne County.

RATES

, Annual Se	ervice Charge:	<u>Per Meter</u>	Per Year Effective 6/1/87
For	3/4-inch meter	\$122.00 (1)	
For	1-inch meter	180.00	186.00
For	1-1/2-inch meter	216.00	225.00
For	2-inch meter	288.00 (I)	312.00 (Í)
Quantity	Rates:	Per Meter	Per Month
First	300 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft	0.66 (1)	0.67 (I)
	300 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft	0.66 (I) 0.74 (I)	0.67 (I) 0.76 (I)

The Service Charge is a readiness-to-serve charge, which (T) is applicable to all metered service and to which is to be added the monthly charge computed at the Quantity Rates. (T)

SFECIAL CONDITIONS

1. In the event that a customer reinstates service within 12 months of termination of service for the same premises, a reconnection charge will be applied, equal to the service and surcharge charges which would have been billed had the customer not terminated service.

2. The cost of service pipes and meters shall be borne by the utility. Relocation of such facilities shall be at the expense of the party requesting relocation.

NOTE: The utility is not authorized to impose Meter Service Surcharge on customers of Apple Valley Estates.

Schedule No. 2R - 00

RESIDENTIAL FIAT RATE SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all flat rate residential water services.

TERRITORY

Oak Gardens Estates Subdivision and vicinity, near Soulsbyville, Tuolumne County.

RATES

	Per Second Per Service Connection Per Ma Per Month Surche Effective 6/01/87		
For a single-family residential unit, including premises	\$ 9.00 (I)	\$10.00 (1)	\$ 7.45
For each additional single- family residential unit on the same premises and served from the same service connection	4.50 (1)	5.50 (1)	3.45

α.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The above flat rates apply to a service connection not larger than one inch in diameter.

2. If the utility so elects, a meter shall be installed and service provided under Schedule No. 1, Metered Service.

FLAT RATE SERVICE SURCHARGE (1)

NOTE: This surcharge is in addition to the regular flat rate charge. (T) The total monthly surcharge must be identified on each bill. (T) This surcharge is specifically for the repayment of the California Safe Drinking Water Bond Act loan as authorized by Decision 86-04-015.

Schedule No. 3A

LINITED METERED RESALE SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to limited metered resale service.

TERRITORY

Sonora Meadows Mutual Water Company.

RATES

Quantity Rates:	<u>Per Meter</u>	Per Month Effective 6/1/87	Per Meter Per Konth Surcharge (1)
First 300,000 ca.ft., per 100 ca.ft.	\$ 0.44 (I)	\$ 0.50 (I)	
Over 300,000 ca.ft., per 100 ca.ft.	0.57 (I)	0.62 (I)	

Monthly Service Charge:

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. Service under this schedule shall be limited to service to Sonora Meadows Mutual Water Company.

LIMITED METERED RESALE SERVICE SURCHARGE (1)

NOTE: This surcharge is specifically for the repayment of the California Safe Drinking Water Bond Act loan as authorized by Decision 93048, dated May 19, 1981.

(T)

APPENDIX C (Page 1)

CRYSTAL FALLS WATER COMPANY

1

COMPARISON OF RATES

A comparison of present and Branch's recommended rates for metered service is shown below:

METERED SERVICE

Willow Springs, Crystal Falls, and Apple Valley Estates: (Schedules 1A & 1A-AV) Per Meter Per Year

		Recommended Rates	
Annual Service Charge:		(1986)	(1987)
For 3/4-inch meter For 1-inch meter For 1-1/2-inch meter For 2-inch meter	\$ 93.00 126.00 168.00 228.00	\$122.00 180.00 216.00 288.00	\$127.00 186.00 225.00 312.00
Quantity Rates:			
First 300 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft Next 300 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft	0.54 0.61	0.66 0.74	0.67 0.76
Sonora Meadows: (Schedule 3A)	× ×		
Monthly Servicé Charge:			
For 4-inch meter	559.00	706.00	742.00
Quantity Rates:			
First 300,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft Over 300,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft	• 35 • 45	•44 •57	.50 .62

APPENDIX C (Page 2)

A monthly bill comparison for a customer in Willow Springs, Crystal Falls, and Apple Valley Estates with a 3/4-inch meter is shown below:

		1986		198	7
Usage	Present	Recormended	Percent	Recommended	Percent
100 cu.ft.	Bills	Bills	Increase	Bills	Increase
0	\$ 7.75	\$10.17	31.22	\$10.58	4.03
10	9.37	12.15	29.67	12.59	3.62
	13.64	17.33	27.05	17.91	3.35
20 70	19.74	24.73	25.28	25.51	3.15
30	25.84	32.13	24.42	33.11	3.05
50	38.04	46.93	23.37	48.31	2.94

Oak Cardens Estates Subdivision (Schedule No. 1)

١

Minimum Charge:	Per Meter Per Month		
	Present	Recommended (1986)	(1987)
For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter	\$ 6.50	N/A	N/A
For 3/4-inch meter		\$10.40	\$10.80
For 1-inch meter	12.85	15.50	16.10
For $1-1/2$ -inch meter	22.50	27.20	28.30
For 2-inch meter	32.60	39.40	40.90
Quantity Rates:			
First 500 cu.ft., or less	N/A	10.40	10.80
Over 500 ca.ft., per 100 ca.ft.	N/A	0.53	0.55

Flat Rate Service

Crystal Falls (Schedule 2 RA)	Per Servic	é Connéctio	1 Per Year
For a single-family residential unit, including premises		\$168.00	\$186.00
For each additional single- family residential unit on the same premises and served from the same service connection		142.20	147.00
Oak Gardens Astates Subdivision (Sch	redule 2R-OG)	-	
For a single-family residential	Per Service	Connection	Per Konth
unit, including premises	7.00	9.00	10.00

APPENDIX D (Page 1)

ADOPTED QUANTITIES (1986 Test Year)

1.0 0.0%

0.0% 0.0

0.0%

\$16,125 8,836 MID

1/01/83

\$14.00

1.94

1.88

1.70

\$200 minimum

Name of Company: Cyrstal Falls Water Company

Net-to-Gross Multiplier: Federal Tax Rates: State Tax Rate: Local Franchise Tax Rate: Business License: Uncollectible Rates:

Expenses Test Year 1986

1. Purchased Pover: (Electric)

Total Cost (\$) kWn Eff. Sch. Date \$/KWh used Rate Schedule \$23,200 238,000 6/09/86 0.0975 Fate Schedule A-1 PA-1

(each of two services)

2. Purchased Water:

Total Cost Quantity Effective Date Service Charge First 50 MID Next 150 MID Over 200 MID

3. Payroll:

4.

Operation and Maintenance	\$57,030
Administrative & General	<u>34,635</u>
Total	\$91,665
Ad Valorem Taxès:	\$6,900
Tax Raté	1.097\$
Assessed Value	\$629,000

APPENDIX D (Page 2)

ADOPTED QUANTITIES (1986 Test Year, Contd)

Services			•
Willow Springs:		1986	<u>1987</u>
1" 1-1/2" 2"		1,093 12 0 3 5	1,119 15 0 3 0
Sonora Meadows:			
4 ⁿ		1	1
Oak Gardens:			
3/4" Flat Rate		46 3	. 49 0
	Total	1,163	1,187

Netered Water Sales	Used to Design Rates		. 1004
	Range - ccf	<u> 1986</u> Usage - Cof	<u> 1987</u> Usage - Cof
Willow Springs, Cr	ystal Falls & Apple \	alley	
Block 1	0-3	30,342	30,949
Block 2	Over 3	89,116	90,899
Sonora Meadows			
Block i	0-3000	31,853	32,330
Block 2	Over 3000	8,539	8,670
Oak Gardens			
Block 1	0-5	2,966 6,330	2,966 6,330
Block 2	Over 5	6,330	6,330

APPENDIX D (Page 3)

ADOPTED TAX CALCULATIONS

Line <u>No.</u>	Item	1966 Adopted Rates		Adopted Rate	
		CCFT	FIT		
1.	Operating Revenues	\$261,850	\$261,850		
2.	Operating Expenses	. 213,080	213,080		
3.	Taxes Other Than Income	14,540	14,540		
4.	Tax Depreciation	8,830	8,830		
	Interest	47,000	47,000		
	State Income Tax	_	200		
7.	Sub-total Deduction	283,450	283,650		
8	State Taxable Income	(Loss)	(Loss)		
9.	State Income Tax	200	· – ·		
10	Federal Taxable Income	•-			
11.	Federal Income Tax	-	-		
12.	Total Income Tax		200		

(END OF APPENDIX D)

Vernon Turner, Vice President Sonora Neadows Natual Water Co. P.O. Box 234 Soulsbyville CA 95372

Dear Mr. Turner:

This refers to your letter of Nay 17, 1986 concerning Crystal Falls Water Company's (CFWC) request for authority to increase rates for water service.

Following CFWC's request, the Commission staff conducted a thorough investigation of the company's operations, including an analysis of the revenue, expense, and plant investment data which CFWC relied on for its proposal. The staff's investigation showed that CFWC is presently operating at a loss.

After considering all factors, the Cormission has authorized an overall increase in water rates averaging 28.2% for 1986 and a further 2.6% for 1987 to bring CFWC's rate of return up to 11%. For Sonora Meadows Mutual Water Company (SWMC), the monthly service charge will increase to \$706 in 1986 and \$742 in 1987 from \$559 currently. For 1986, quantity rates will increase to \$0.44 per Ccf for the first 300,000 cubic feet and \$0.57 for usage above 300,000 cubic feet. For 1987, quantity rates will be \$0.50 and \$0.67.

Your letter maintained that the present service charge is discriminatory. In Decision 92186 issued September 3, 1980 the Cormission looked into the contract between CFWC and SWMC and found that the then-existing rates would discriminate against CFWC's customers unless they were raised. Additionally, the Cormission found that SMMC had substantial bargaining power in dealing with CFWC. The present rates are the result of a series of contracts approved by SMMC. The forthcoming increase to SMMC is proportionate to the increase for other customers and is intended to ensure that the customers of your mutual continue to pay a reasonable share of the cost of providing water service.

We appreciate that you took the time to provide your opinions on the proposed rate increase. If you have any questions, please call Bob Bennett of our staff at (213) 620-4656.

Very truly yours,

WESLEY FRANKLIN, Chief Water Utilities Branch

TO CUSTOMERS WHO HAVE WRITTEN TO THE COMMISSION REGARDING THE REQUEST FOR A 31.5% RATE INCREASE BY CRYSTAL FAILS WATER COMPANY:

Dear Customer:

On April 2, 1986 Crystal Falls Water Company (CFWC) notified its customers by mail that it was requesting authority from the Public Utilities Cormission to raise its rates for water service by an average of 31.5%. Customers were invited to write to the Cormission if they had corments on CFWC's proposed increase. The Cormission subsequently received eight customer letters protesting the magnitude of the proposed increase.

Following CFWC's request, the Cormission staff conducted a thorough investigation of the company's operations, including an analysis of the revenue, expense, and plant investment data which the utility relied on for its proposal. The staff made adjustments to CFWC's estimates and recommended that the Commission authorize an increase. The major reason for the increase is to cover higher costs associated with purchased water, insurance, labor and electrical power for pumping.

After considering all factors presented, the Cormission has authorized increases averaging 28.2% for 1996 and an additional 2.6% for 1987. For the typical customer this will mean an increase in the monthly bill to \$17.34 from \$13.64. Your increase may vary depending on your water usage.

In establishing rates, the Commission's role is twofold. Rates must be kept as low as possible and, at the same time, be sufficient to cover operating expenses and provide a fair return on the utility's investment in its water system. You may be assured that the utility's request was thoroughly reviewed and evaluated by the Commission staff before this increase was granted.

We appreciate that you took the time to provide your opinions on the proposed rate increase. If you have any questions, please call Bob Bennett of our staff at (213) 620-4656.

Very traly yours,

WESLEY FRANKLIN, Chief Water Utilities Branch