## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COMMISSION ADVISORY & COMPLIANCE DIVISION Water Utilities Branch RESOLUTION NO. W-3443 April 26, 1989 ДĽ

W-1

### RESOLUTION

#### (RES. W-3443) LOS GUILLOOS WATER WORKS, (LGWW). ORDER AUTHORIZING A GENERAL RATE INCREASE PRODUCING \$6,572 OR 164.4% ADDITIONAL ANNUAL REVENUE.

IGWW, by draft advice letter received by the Water Utilities Branch (Branch) on February 22, 1988, requested authority under Section VI of General Order (G.O.) 96-A and Section 454 of the Public Utilities Code to increase rates for water service by an unspecified amount. IGWW presently serves approximately 21 metered customers in an area approximately two and one half miles south of the community of Kenwood, Sonoma County.

LGWW provides water service as an element of the operations of Morton's Warm Springs, Inc., a resort, and was declared to be a water utility by Decision (D.) 88-01-015 (January 13, 1988) as a result of a customer complaint alleging that the company was about to impose a substantial general rate increase without authority. Its metered service schedule was filed and became effective March 1, 1988, although the rates filed had been in use for some time.

Upon being declared a utility, IGWW provided estimates of its utility-related revenues, expenses and plant and requested a determination of what level of rates could be justified. Because it had not kept separate utility accounting records, its initial expense and plant estimates were questionable. The Water Branch therefore asked the Auditing and Compliance Branch of Commission Advisory and Compliance Division to perform an audit of IGWW's books, and an audit was performed. The Branch used the information contained in the audit report and an independent analysis of IGWW's revenue, expenses and rate base to derive the summary of earnings at present and recommended rates presented in Appendix A. Appendix A shows differences in revenue, expenses and rate base.

The Branch's estimate of revenue at present rates is higher than LGWW's. The Branch used the actual recorded bills for a fourteen month period through early 1988, adjusted to a twelve month basis, and imputed revenue from the unmetered services owned by Morton's as discussed later. LGWW used an average of the last several years' recorded figures which is not representative of operations in the test year.

The differences in estimates for operating expenses are in materials, management salaries, transportation, other plant maintenance, office supplies, professional services, insurance, general expenses, depreciation, property taxes, payroll taxes and income taxes.

As noted earlier, LGWW is one element of Morton's Warm Springs, Inc. LGWW determined the utility's share of expenses for management labor and legal and accounting fees as a percentage of the expense for Morton's and applied that percentage to Morton's other expenses to yield most of LGWW's estimates.

The Branch's estimate of purchased power is the same as LGWW's. There was no way to determine the kilowatt-hours consumed by the pump supplying LGWW's customers because there is only one meter serving both LGWW and the resort, so the Branch made an approximate determination based on the amount of water sold and the size of the pump. The Branch's result was close to LGWW's allocated estimate so it was accepted. However, such an approximate estimating method is unsatisfactory in the longer term and the Branch recommends that LGWW obtain a separate electric meter for the water company pump motor.

The Branch's estimate of materials expense is much lower than IGWW's. There was insufficient backup for IGWW's figure, but the Branch agrees that there is a need for some miscellaneous materials expense and thus has included a nominal \$50. IGWW used a fixed percentage of the resort's total materials expense to determine its estimate.

The Branch's estimate of management salaries is lower than LGWW's. LGWW used a figure of 98 hours per year to manage the water system in arriving at the percentage of overall expenses to be allocated to LGWW. The Branch accepted that figure and applied an hourly rate more appropriate to a very small water company. LGWW used a fixed percentage of the resort's total management salary to determine its estimate.

The Branch's estimate of transportation expense is higher than LGWW's. The Branch's estimate is based on estimated vehicle mileage and a rate of \$0.24 per mile, the amount allowed as an income tax deduction by the Internal Revenue Service for automobiles and light trucks used in business. LGWW used a fixed percentage of the resort's total transportation expense to determine its estimate.

Branch recommends no expense be allowed for other plant maintenance because the audit showed no records of such expense. LISWW used a fixed percentage of the resort's total maintenance expense to determine its estimate.

Thé Branch's estimate of office supplies expense is lower than LGWW's. The Auditing & Compliance Branch's audit of LGWW's books found sufficient backup for \$347. LGWW used a fixed percentage of the resort's total office expense to determine its estimate.

The Branch's estimate of professional services is lower than LGWW's. The Branch included an estimate of \$50 in this account for water testing expense. LGWW used a fixed percentage of the resort's total professional expense to determine its estimate.

The Branch's estimate of insurance expense is lower than LGWW's. The Branch obtained from the insurance agent who sold the policy to Morton's an estimate of what portion of the resort's total insurance was due to its water operation, then escalated this amount to 1989 dollars. The Branch's escalation factors for this and other accounts were those recommended by the Advisory Branch of the Commission Advisory and Compliance Division. LGWW used a fixed percentage of the resort's total insurance bill to determine its estimate.

The Branch's estimate of general expense is lower than LGWW's. There was insufficient backup for LGWW's claim for general expense but Branch has placed \$62 that LGWW had classified as other taxes and licenses into this account. LGWW used a fixed percentage of the resort's total general expense as its estimate.

The Branch's estimate of depreciation expense is much lower than IGWW's. IGWW used an extraordinarily high 10% depreciation rate applied to its higher plant estimate to estimate depreciation expense while the Branch performed a straight line remaining life depreciation study as prescribed in the Commission's Standard Practice U-4, "Détérmination of Straight-Line Remaining Life Dépréciation Accruals," to arrivé at its recommended 2.2% depréciation rate. The Branch recommends that IGWW be ordered to use a 2.2% depréciation rate in calculating depréciation until à future study reviewed by Branch indicates that a different rate should be used.

The Branch's estimate of property taxes is lower than IGWW's. Because the utility plant is not assessed or billed separately from the resort operation as a whole, the Branch evaluated the relationship between assessed value and net utility plant for several recent small water utility rate cases and applied it to IGWW's case. IGWW used a fixed percentage of the resort's total property tax bill to determine its estimate.

The Branch used the latest tax rates in calculating its payroll taxes estimate. LGWW did not estimate payroll taxes.

The Branch's figures for income taxes reflect current rates under the federal Tax Reform Act of 1986 and the corresponding state rates for 1989. LGWW did not include income tax expense.

The différences in rate base are due to differences in plant in service and depreciation reserve.

The Branch's estimaté of plant in service is lower than LGWW's. Water Branch used the original cost of plant as determined by Auditing and Compliance Branch's audit, which included two years' plant additions which LGWW had not considered in its estimate, to determine the original cost of the plant. Other plant additions included by LGWW could not be substantiated.

The Branch's estimate of depreciation reserve is lower than LGWW's. The Branch brought the depreciation reserve forward from 1959 through test year 1989 using the proper depreciation rates as described in the paragraph relating to depreciation expense. LGWW estimated its depreciation reserve based on its larger plant estimate and depreciation rates as high as 10% as explained earlier.

LGWW did not request a specific rate of return. The Branch recommends a rate of return of 10.50%, the midpoint of the 10.25% to 10.75% standard rate of return range recommended by the Accounting and Financial Branch of Commission Advisory and Compliance Division for small, 100% equity financed water utilities.

As noted earlier, LGWW's draft advice letter did not request a specific rate increase. At the conclusion of its summary of earnings analysis, the Branch contacted LGWW's owner and discussed the Branch's estimating methods and results, and the owner concurred with the Branch's recommended overall increase. The Branch subsequently imputed additional revenues for the owner's unmetered connections in response to the customer letter discussed below, thus reducing slightly the Branch's recommended increase.

A notice of the proposed rate increase and public meeting was mailed to each customer on February 23, 1989. On March 8, 1989 an informal public meeting attended by twenty people representing about fourteen of IGWW's twenty-one customers was held in Kenwood, close to the service territory. A Branch engineer conducted the meeting and IGWW's owner was there to represent the utility. Questions were asked regarding estimating techniques, methods of ensuring that the expenses were only for the water company and not the resort as a whole, the reasonableness of the insurance estimate specifically, pressure problems experienced by customers on the high end of the system on hot summer holidays, and water coor.

The Branch has received letters of protest from five customers. One protestant claimed that the increase requested worked out to 292%, but the Branch's review of his figures found a mathematical error; correcting the error confirmed that the notice was correct. The second protestant complained that his meter was incorrectly installed; IGWW acknowledged the problem and will remedy it. The third and fourth letters were from the same customer family and complained of the high cost of liability insurance and the magnitude of the increase. The fifth was a petition with signatures representing five families. The petition complained that customers were being required to bear costs properly assignable to Morton's Warm Springs, Inc., and asked that meters be installed on Morton's presently unmetered connections. As a result, the Branch has imputed additional revenue for those connections and recommends the owner be required to install meters to track his future usage. IGWW concurs. A Branch énginéer conductéd a field investigation of LGWW's sérvice area on April 11, 1988. Visible portions of the systém were inspected, pressures checked, and company records résearched. The investigation revealed that service is satisfactory. No major water supply or water quality problems were found. The Schoma County Environmental Health Department was contacted and confirmed that LGWW's water meets all applicable state standards and that there are no water quality problems.

LGWW has an artesian well in good condition and an abundant water supply. All of its customers are metered with the exception of those hookups belonging to the owner. No additional conservation measures are needed.

IGWW's well does not have a meter to record production as required by G.O. 103, "Rules Governing Water Service Including Minimum Standards for Design and Construction." In order to evaluate the well pump's power consumption and to alert IGWW's management if the pump should show a decline in efficiency which may affect water service, the Branch recommends that IGWW be directed to install a production meter at the well. IGWW should be authorized to file an advice letter to begin recovering the reasonable cost of the meter after it has been put into operation.

LGWW's current rates consist of an annual service charge and a single quantity rate for all water used. The Branch recommends a rate structure with a service charge that recovers 50% of fixed costs and a single metered quantity block. This is consistent with the Commission's rate design policy for water companies established by D. 86-05-064 which calls for phasing out lifeline rates, allows for reduction of multiple blocks to a single block and recovery of up to 50% of fixed expenses through the service charge.

In February, 1982 the Commission adopted a policy of capping small water company rate increases at 100% per year. The revenues deferred by capping are made up, with interest, through rates approved for the subsequent year. Rates are lowered to their final level in the third year when all deferred revenues and interest have been recovered. A rate increase greater than 100% in the first year is permitted when necessary to eliminate a negative rate of return or out-of-pocket losses.

For the first year, the Branch recommends that the Commission authorize an increase of \$5,235 or 130.9% which would increase annual operating revenue from \$3,998 at present rates to \$9,233 at the recommended rates shown in Appendix B. A first year increase greater than 100% is needed to eliminate the negative rate of return. For the second year, the Branch recommends an increase of \$2,814 or 30.5% to increase estimated annual operating revenue from \$9,233 to \$12,047 at the recommended rates shown in Appendix B as the interim rates effective May 1, 1990. This amount includes \$1,337 of revenue and \$140 of interest foregone during the first year. Finally, the Branch recommends that, for the third year, the Commission order a decrease of \$1,477 or 12.3% which would lower estimated annual operating revenue from \$12,047 at the second year rates to \$10,570 at the recommended rates shown in Appendix B as the final rates effective May 1, 1991. These rates produce a 10.50% rate of return on rate base.



At the Branch's final recommended rates shown in Appendix B, the bill for a typical metered customer using the system average of 6,500 gallons monthly would increase from \$13.68 per month presently to \$31.25 per month the first year, increase further to \$40.85 per month the second year, and finally decrease to \$35.79 the third year and thereafter. A comparison of customer bills at the present and recommended rates is shown in Appendix C.

#### FINDINGS

1. The Branch's recommended summary of earnings (Appendix A) is reasonable and should be adopted.

2. The rates recommended by the Branch (Appendix B) are reasonable and should be authorized.

3. Thé quantities (Appendix D) uséd to dévelop the Branch's récommendations are reasonable and should bé adopted.

4. IGWW should be ordered to have installed a separate electric meter for the pump which supplies the water utility. IGWW should be allowed to file an advice letter to begin recovering the reasonable costs of its installation after it has been placed in service.

5. LGWW should be ordered to use a 2.2% depreciation accrual rate until a future straight-line remaining life depreciation study reviewed by the Water Branch justifies use of a different rate.

6. LGWW should be ordéred to install méters on thé présently unmetered connections serving water to Morton's picnic areas, restrionns and dressing rooms. LGWW should be allowed to file an advice letter to begin recovering the reasonable costs of these meters after they have been placed in service.

7. LGWW should be ordered to comply with G.O. 103 by installing a suitable measuring device or otherwise determining production at its source of supply. LGWW should be allowed to file an advice letter to begin recovering the reasonable costs of its installation after it has been placed in service.

8. The rate increase authorized herein is justified and the resulting rates are just and reasonable.

#### IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Authority is granted under Public Utilities Code Section 454 for Los Guilicos Water Works to file an advice letter incorporating the summary of earnings and revised rate schedule attached to this resolution as Appendices A and B respectively, and concurrently to cancel its presently effective rate Schedule No. 1. Its filing shall comply with General Order 96-A. The effective date of the revised rate schedule shall be the date of filing.

2. Los Guilicos Water Works shall within one year of the effective date of this resolution have a meter installed which measures only the amount of electricity used by the water company pump.

3. Los Guilicos Water Works shall use a 2.24 depreciation accrual rate until such time as a future straight-line remaining life depreciation study reviewed by the Water Branch justifies use of a different rate.

4. Los Guilicos Water Works shall within one year install meters on the presently unmetered connections serving water to Morton's Warm Springs, Inc.'s picnic areas, restrooms and dressing rooms.

5. Los Guilicos Water Works shall comply with General Order 103 by installing a suitable measuring device or otherwise determining production at its source of supply within one year of the effective date of this resolution.

6. Los Guilicos Water Works is authorized to file an advice letter to begin recovering the reasonable costs of its electric meter, water meter and production meter installations required by Ordering Paragraphs 2, 4 and 5 after they have been placed in service.

7. This resolution is effective today.

I certify that this resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on April 26, 1989. The following commissioners approved it:

7

G. MITCHELL WILK Président FREDERICK R. DUDA STANLEY W. HULETT JOHN B. OHANIAN PATRICIA M. ECKERT Commissioners

111

VICTOR R. WEISSER Executive Director

## APPENDIX A

્યત્વે

2,3

## LOS GUILICOS WATER WORKS

# SUMMARY OF EARNINGS Test Year 1989

1	Utility	Estimated	Branch	Estimated	
i	Present	Requested	Present	Requested	Adopted
Item	Ratés	Rates	Rates	Rates	Rates
Operating Revenue				6 · · · · · · ·	
Metered	\$ 2,972	\$ 9,936	\$ 3,998	\$ 10,570	\$ 10,570
Flat Rate	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0
Total Revenue	2,972	9,936	3,998	10,570	10,570
Operating Expenses					
Purchased Power	787	787	787	787	787
Employée Labor	106	106	106	106	106
Matérials	1,343	1,343	50	50	50
Contract Work	600	600	600	600	600
Management Salaries	4,088	4,088	980	980	980
Transportation	505	505	625	625	625
Other Plant Maintenanc	æ 156	156	Ò	0	0
Office Supplies	417	417	347	347	347
Professional Services	78	78	<b>Š</b> 0	50	50
Insurance	5,000	5,000	4,775	4,775	4,775
General Expense	239	239	62	62	62
Reg. Comm. Expense	Ó	Ó	. <b>Ö</b>	· Ó	0
Subtotal	13,319	13,319	8,382	8,382	8,382
Depreciation Exp.	2,972	2,972	512	512	512
Property Tax	907	907	110	110	110
Payroll Tax	Ó	0	148	148	148
Income Taxes	ĊŎ	Ŏ	81	325	325
Total Deductions	17,198	17,198	9,233	9,477	9,477
Net Revènue	(14,226)	( 7,262)	( 5,235)	1,093	1,093
Rate Base					
Average Plant	26,573	26,573	24,253	24,253	24,253
Avg. Depr. Reserve	18,994	18,994	13,848	13,848	13,848
Net Plant	7,579	7,579	10,405	10,405	10,405
Less: Advances	Ó	0	Ó	Ó	0
Contributions	Ó	0	Ó	Ó	Ó
Plus: Working Cash	0	Ò	0	Ó	Ó
Mat'l & Supplie	s O	0	0	Ó	0
Rate Base	7,579	7,579	10,405	10,405	10,405
Rate of Return	(Loss)	(Loss)	(Loss)	10.50%	10.50%





## APPENDIX B

## LOS QUILICOS WATER WORKS

## Schedule No. 1

#### METERED SERVICE

## APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service.

### TERRITORY

The subdivisions known as Morton Warm Springs Resort and vicinity, located 2-1/2 miles south of Kerwood, Sonoma County.

#### RATES

	Per M	eter Per Y	ear .			
	Effective Dates			(N)		
	1989	5-1-90	5-1-91	(N)		
Quantity Rate:				(T)		
All use, per 1000 gallons	\$ 2.73	\$ 3.63	\$ 3.16	(1)		
Service Charge:				• •		
For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter	\$147.00	\$189.00	\$168.00	(I)		
For 3/4-inch meter	162.00	207.00	183.00	1		
For 1-inch meter	222.00	282.00	252.00	· İ		
For 1 1/2-inch meter	297.00	375.00	336.00	<b>i</b> 1		
For 2-inch meter	402.00	507.00	453.00	(İ)		

The service charge is a readiness-to-serve charge which is applicable to all metered service, and to which is to be added the monthly charge computed at the quantity rate.

## APPENDIX C

## LOS GUILLOOS WATER WORKS

## COMPARISON OF RATES

Comparison of monthly bills at present rates and the Branch's recommended final rates for customers with 3/4-inch meters:

Monthly Usagé;			onmended Effectiv	Overall Percentage	
Gallors	Bills	5/89	5/90	5/91	Increase
0	\$ 3.60	\$ 13.50	\$ 17.25	\$ 15.25	323.64
2,000	6.70	18.96	24.51	21.57	221.9
4,000	9.80	24.42	31.77	27.89	184.6
6,500 (average)	13.68	31.25	40.85	35.79	161.6
8,000	16.00	35.34	46.29	40.53	153.3
10,000	19.10	40.80	53.55	- 46.85	145.3
20,000	34.60	68.10	89.85	78.45	126.7
30,000	50.10	95.40	126.15	110.05	119.7

# APPENDIX D Page 1

## LOS GUILLOOS WATER WORKS

# ADOPTED QUANTITIES Test Year 1989

Federal Tax Rate:	154
State Tax Rate (\$600 Minimum):	9.3\$
Local Franchise Rate:	0.61

## Expenses:

### 1. Purchased Power

Pacific Gas and Electric Co. Raté Schedule Effective Daté of Schedule KWh Uséd Totál KWh Uséd - Summér KWh Uséd - Winter \$/KWh - Winter \$/KWh - Winter Summer Charge Winter Charge Sérvice Charge Total Purchased Power	A-1 (Singlè Phàse) 1/1/89 6,945 5,212 1,733 0.10976 0.09024 \$ 571 156 60 787
2. Purchaséd Water	None
3. Payroll Employee Labor Office Salaries Management Salaries Total Payroll Payroll Taxes	\$ 106 0 <u>980</u> 1,086 148
<ul> <li>4. Ad Valorem Taxes Tax Rate Assessed Value (imputed)</li> <li>5. Water Testing (in professional services)</li> </ul>	110 1.016% \$ 10,823 50

· : 5

### APPENDIX D Page 2

## LOS GUILLOOS WATER WORKS

## Service Connections

1. Metéred

5/8 x 3/4-inch	22
Total metered	24

## 2. Flat Rate

None

Metered Water Sales Used To Design Rates:

## 2,487 Ocf (1,860 kGal)

## ADOPTED TAX CALCULATIONS 1989 Test Year

Line No.	Iten	State Tax	Federal Tax
1.	Opérating Révénués \$	10,570	\$ 10,570
2.	Expenses	8,382	8,382
3.	Taxés Other Thàn Income	258	258
4.	Depréciation Expense	512	512
5.	Interest	Ò	Ó
Ġ.	Taxable Income for State Tax	1,418	
74.	Staté Tax (0 9.3%, \$81 minimum 1/)	132	132
8.	Taxable Income for FIT	·	1,286
<b>9</b> .	Federal Income Tax (ê 15%)		193
10.	Total Income Tax		325

1/ \$600x13.5%=\$81

