From:Roscow, SteveSent:1/26/2010 11:09:02 AMTo:Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);
Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)Cc:Kahlon, Gurbux (GKK@cpuc.ca.gov)Bcc:

Subject: CCA question, Routing to Brian for reply

Brian,

I'm (re) sending the attached to you directly for a reply. I'd addressed it last week to Chris Warner, but it seems (pending confirmation this Friday in response to the request for info that Gurbux and I sent to Trina) that he spends part of his time working for shareholders in opposition to the Marin CCA, so (a) I no longer feel I will get timely responses from him to my "regulatory" questions and (b) I worry that I am not receiving "neutral" information back, given the dual roles he is undertaking, part-time for ratepayers, part-time for shareholders. Please understand I am just telling you factually what I am experiencing.

So I am going to route my CCA-related questions solely to you regulatory folks. Perhaps that will work better.

I realize that we are in unexplored territory here, but I'd ask that you consider the challenges faced by Commission staff when a single individual at PG&E is simultaneously implementing CPUC decisions and the law, while opposing the efforts of the communities that are attempting to initiate a program provided for in that same law. That places an enormous burden on us as staff, to sort that out, especially given the intensive efforts that PG&E is mounting in Marin right now.

Steve Roscow

Program and Project Supervisor

CPUC Energy Division

415-703-1189