From:	Besa, Athena
Sent:	2/16/2010 1:30:52 PM
To:	'Walsh, Natalie' (NFW@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Tagnipes, Jeorge S.' (jst@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.' (ZTC@cpuc.ca.gov); ppl@cpuc.ca.gov (ppl@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:	Yamagata, Joy C. (JYamagata@semprautilities.com); 'don.arambula@sce.com' (don.arambula@sce.com); 'darren.hanway@sce.com' (darren.hanway@sce.com); McKinley, Kevin C. (KMcKinley@semprautilities.com): Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Redacted Rubin, Rob
	(RRubin@semprautilities.com)

Bcc:

Subject: RE:IOUs Need Guidane for 2010 Reporting Requirements

Hi, again, Jeorge!

Not to be a pest-- but we are now half past the month of February for preparing the January 2010 report, and we running out of time. It would be most useful if Energy Division could give us guidance on how to prepare the report but more importantly, how to represent the savings. We understand using 2008 DEER but there are several outstanding issues:

(1) For DEER measures--in using 2008 DEER, we made adjustments that we agreed to with ED regarding some of the weighting schemes. However the compliance advice letters have not been approved and this was one of the issues raised by TURN and DRA;

(2) For non-DEER measures--workpapers for non-DEER HIM measures have not been finalized and approved pending feedback/direction from Peter; and

(3) For non-DEER custom measures--we have not received feedback/direction from Peter.

Given that the above are the primary sources of reporting savings information, it is unclear what information we should be providing pending resolution of the above items. Here are suggestions: (1) Delay the monthly report until the template and savings information have been finalized; or

(2) Use old values and explain

why the savings changed (dropped) after ED provides the updates--not our preference since we are knowingly submitting something that we know will change); or

(3) Provide only the expenditure report portion with no savings.

We appreciate everyone's busy, however, we need direction on what to file on March 1.

Thanks!

PS Will EEGA need to be updated to receive the 2010 reports.

Athena M. Besa

Customer Programs Policy & Support Manager

San Diego Gas & Electric Company

Southern California Gas Company

(858) 654-1257

> From: Besa, Athena Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 11:52 AM To: Tagnipes, Jeorge S.; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.; Walsh, Natalie Cc: Yamagata, Joy C.; McKinley, Kevin C.;

don.arambula@sce.com; Darren.Hanway@sce.com; Ramaiya, Shilpa R **Subject:** Reporting Requirements for 2010 **Importance:** High

Hi, Jeorge!

I know you've all been

busy but we wanted to check in regarding the upcoming monthly report submittal for January 2010. Are we changing templates or are we still using the 2006-2009 template? The 2010-2012 Decision states (at page 64):

We request that the Energy Division review further all existing and new energy efficiency reporting requirements and report on possible ways to streamline these requirements.

Or will the final

reporting requirements be part of the upcoming EM&V decision (see OP 60 on page 392)?

Your guidance is always appreciated as the report is due on March 1.

Regards,

Athena

Athena M. Besa

**Customer Programs** 

Policy & Support Manager

San Diego Gas & Electric Company

Southern California Gas Company

(858) 654-1257