

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 2/23/2010 3:17:11 PM
To: Cammarata, Jordana (jordana.cammarata@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Meeting to discuss PG&E ZNE advice letter?

All,

The PPM meeting has been extended to 1 pm, see Jeanne Clinton's note below (sent to [Redacted] just a couple of minutes ago). So, I suggest we convene from 1:30-2:15 for PG&E's ZNE discussion. I am suggesting slightly less time for this meeting as I suspect that the morning meeting will be a lot for IOU staff to absorb, and so I suggest that a longer discussion after that absorption period has taken place may be most fruitful. In addition, I would strongly suggest that Anna participate in the morning meeting, and/or listen in by phone. (I'm not sure of our meeting room size, hopefully its large enough for her to attend in person).

Best,

Cathy

Alyssa -- Can you please pass this along to appropriate colleagues at Sempra and PG&E?

To be clear, briefly, on a few points:

1. Lisa Paulo is the CPUC staff organizer for this meeting. She has been out of the office for different reasons, and it seems may not have yet pulled together a detailed agenda. This message will have to suffice for now. [Redacted] [Redacted] is not organizing this meeting, nor is she the EE Planning staff supervisor this year.

2. I understand that utilities want to present something first re: utilities'

understanding of the performance metric directive. Since We received your examples in January, and found they were off the mark from what ED staff anticipated, I respectfully suggest that we instead will start with ED's overview of what the Decision said in terms of process and written products expected on this.

We volunteered to work up 2 examples, and are in the process of doing so. Neither is finished yet, as we also have struggled with this assignment in terms of process, level of detail, what is helpful to the administrator vs what the regulator and public parties need to see, ...

The Residential New Construction example MAY be ready to share IN ILLUSTRATIVE DRAFT on Wednesday morning. Ayat Osman and Redacted have been tasked to do that one, and I know there has been considerable progress on it.

For the non-resource example, Workforce Education and Training, Lisa Paulo and Redacted have been working on this PPM example. That one most likely will not be ready before end of day Wednesday. We can send out each one on its own timeframe as ready.

2. As Redacted indicated, please assume we will take up Residential New Construction first.

3. Schedule -- Lisa has the meeting on our calendars from 9:00 - 12:30. NOTE THE 9:00 START! I believe we originally asked for a longer time, but I seem to recall one or more utilities asked for a shorter meeting. Given this time frame, I suggest a tentative agenda as follows. I am taking the liberty of extending the time by half an hour to make everything fit.

Bring your coffee thermoses to keep yourselves stoked!

9-9:30 Introductions and CPUC staff overview of the Commission direction & staff's interpretation of critical elements.

9:30 - 11:00 Presentation and Discussion by all of ED staff's Residential New Construction Example, and implications for PPMs to be reported and tracked via EEGA. Expect to modify, amend, or otherwise mold into some consensus. (hopefully)

11:00 - 12:30 Same on Workforce example.

12:30 - 1:00 Conclusions, Next Steps, Wrap up.

If any of this needs to change, please communicate with Lisa Paulo. I know she is tied up with an WE&T working group meeting today, but she can address any adjustments on Wednesday. (I will be at a meeting with utility folks and [Redacted] on HVAC issues Wednesday morning.)

We all are doing the best possible. I appreciate your patience and look forward to fruitful discussion on Thursday.

Jeanne

Jeanne Clinton

Climate Strategies Branch Manager (and currently also acting EE Planning supervisor) Energy Division, CPUC

Tel: 415/ 703-1159

e-mail: cln@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Cammarata, Jordana

Sent:

Tuesday, February 23, 2010 2:56 PM

To: [Redacted]; [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Cc: 'Ramaiya, Shilpa R'; [Redacted]

Subject:

RE: Meeting to discuss PG&E ZNE advice letter?

Anna,

I just got your voicemail. It looks like 1 - 1:30 is free for Cathy and I. I will look for a room and send around details to everyone on this email. I suspect we won't need a phone line.

Also, do we want to set aside a whole hour?

Thanks,

Jordana

From: [Redacted]
Sent:
Tuesday, February 23, 2010 9:25 AM
To: [Redacted] Cammarata,
Jordana
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; [Redacted]
Subject: RE:
Meeting to discuss PG&E ZNE advice letter?

Thats fine with me. I had thought that the PPM meeting was longer, but it looks like it ends at 12:30.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 4:30 PM
To: [Redacted]
[Redacted]; Cammarata, Jordana
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; [Redact]
[Redact]
Subject: RE: Meeting to discuss PG&E ZNE advice letter?

It actually looks like the PG&E contingent could meet any time between 12:30 and 3 on Thursday, so perhaps we could meet after a lunch break? (Sorry for multiple emails.)

Anna

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2010 4:10 PM
To: [Redac]
[Redacted]; 'Cammarata, Jordana'
Cc: Ramaiya,
Shilpa R; [Redacted]
Subject: Meeting to discuss PG&E ZNE advice letter?

Hello Cathy and Jordana,

After the Program Performance Metrics meeting on Thursday (2/25), are you available from 12:30-1:30 to discuss the PG&E ZNE advice letter with myself, Shilpa, and Sandy?

Thanks, Anna

Redacted

Senior
Regulatory Analyst
Zero Net Energy Pilot
Program
PG&E