

From: Dorman, Elizabeth
Sent: 2/11/2010 9:10:32 AM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: less ack - CCM

Pretty much. The current plan is for the PD to be modified to keep things just as-is and not pursue the multi-year/transparency avenues. I'm close to giving up on trying to understand why.

From: Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2010 8:50 AM
To: Dorman, Elizabeth
Subject: Re: less ack - CCM

Whew is right!! But - just so I understand - why does there need to be an alternate or modified PD keeping status quo? That's what the Wetzell PD does, isn't it? Or is Peevey backing off multi year forward?

From: Dorman, Elizabeth <edd@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: Horner, Trina
Sent: Thu Feb 11 08:43:10 2010
Subject: less ack - CCM

back to the drawing board. After CAISO responded unfavorably to Matt's approach, Peevey has decided to put out an alt/modified decision maintaining the status quo. whew!