From: michael.hoover@sce.com Sent: 2/25/2010 12:59:09 PM

To: Fitch, Julie A. (julie.fitch@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Pedro Villegas

(PVillegas@semprautilities.com)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: Meeting at 130

4th floor in 30 minutes, right?

From: "Fitch, Julie A." [julie.fitch@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: 02/25/2010 11:01 AM PST

To: Michael Hoover

Subject: Re: Meeting at 130

Ok, just recognize that we are constrained by what the commission ordered us to do, so we don't have complete freedom.

Who specifically is coming from the other utilities, can you let me know?

Thanks.

Julie

From: Michael.Hoover@sce.com

To: Fitch, Julie A.

Sent: Thu Feb 25 10:39:01 2010 **Subject**: Re: Meeting at 130

Thanks julie,

No lobbying and we tried to put together an agenda but decided your idea to Brainstrom what to do going forward is the best we can do in an hour and a half. All the IOUs have the EMV gurus in attendance including Marian Brown from SCE.

Our bottom line is that the reports have value but not in term of providing detailed information on program savings. The high impact measure approach is great for some things but not for estimating KWH savings.

My objective is figure out what to do with the reports.

From: "Fitch, Julie A." [julie.fitch@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: 02/25/2010 10:22 AM PST

To: Michael Hoover Subject: Meeting at 130

Hi Mike,

I haven't seen a requested agenda for this afternoon. In the absence of input, I assume we will want to talk about:

- high impact measure approach
- net to gross
- effect of the economy
- spillover

I want to emphasize that we want this to be an exchange by the evaluation experts from the utilities and our staff, not regulatory lobbying on outcomes. Can we get a list of who you expect to be coming from the utility side? Thanks.

Julie