

From: Roscow, Steve
Sent: 2/1/2010 5:30:43 PM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Homework from CCA BTL meeting

Trina,

Thanks-

As you might expect, I have to say your responses to #2 and #3 are insufficient, and non-responsive to my questions. I'll draft up some formal discovery, and if there is any interest internally in pursuing it, we'll provide it.

Also, why is the word document Section 583, and why is the "non-labor" spending Section 583? The labor info, with employee names, makes sense, but I don't understand the other parts?

From: Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]
Sent: Mon 2/1/2010 5:00 PM
To: Roscow, Steve
Subject: Homework from CCA BTL meeting

Hi Steve,

As promised, I'm sending along the homework items from the meeting that Redacted and I had with you and Gurbux in late December regarding PG&E's CCA-related below-the-line accounting and procedures. FYI, I had the questions and answers put into the standard data request template just because that is the format that folks here are used to working with; that is the Word document. The Excel file contains the summary and detail of the Marin and SF expenses going back to 2007, in response to the first question; I think this is the same template used for the SJVPA-related expense reporting but definitely let me know if it is not what you wanted. As we discussed, this information is confidential, provided pursuant to P.U. Code Section 583.

Let me know if you'd like to discuss this information or if it raises further questions for you that PG&E can address.

Trina

<<ED Data Response CCA BTL 2-1-10.doc>> <<SF-MARIN CCA Expenses (ED Request).xls>>