

From: Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.
Sent: 3/17/2010 9:59:35 AM
To: Clinton, Jeanne (jeanne.clinton@cpuc.ca.gov); C Yin (cyin@yinsight.net)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd);
don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); Yamagata, Joy C.
(JYamagata@semprautilities.com); Carol.Yin@sce.com (Carol.Yin@sce.com);
Osman, Ayat E. (ayat.osman@cpuc.ca.gov); Premo, Anne W.
(anne.premo@cpuc.ca.gov); Alyssa.Cherry@sce.com (Alyssa.Cherry@sce.com);
Athena Besa (ABesa@semprautilities.com); Marian.Brown-sce.com
(Marian.Brown@sce.com); L.Michelle.Thomas@sce.com
(L.Michelle.Thomas@sce.com)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: 60-day deadline clarification

Carol, et.al. -- ED staff is interpreting the language in Attachment 7 (Step 5) to refer to the final contractor evaluation reports. Energy Division aggregates these contractor reports into its "Final Performance Basis Report" per Step 6. The contractors' final evaluation reports contain the findings and recommendations that the utilities should be responding to. The Energy Division's Final Performance Basis report will basically aggregate the results (through the ERT process and based on the decision framework that we've presented earlier) to derive overall portfolio and program-specific energy savings, as well as summary findings and recommendations from the contractors' final evaluation reports. We do not expect that the utilities will provide **another** response to Energy Division's Final Performance Basis report within 60-days as required in Step 5. We are hoping that the "Round 2" debriefing meetings with the IOUs, ED planning/evaluation staff, and evaluation contractors will help the utilities in preparing their written responses regarding potential changes to programs. Jeanne had already indicated in a prior email that ED will be amenable to having the utilities submit their responses at the same time as the performance metrics advice letters in May. I hope this helps. I've excerpted portions of Attachment 7 below for reference.

D.07-09-043, Attachment 7

4. Energy Division directs evaluation contractors to make any necessary changes to **final evaluation reports** stimulated by the comments. All written comments, and Energy Division's treatment of them, will be

reflected in appendices to the final evaluation reports.

The final evaluation reports are posted on a publicly accessible website.

5. Within 60 days of public release, program administrators will respond in writing to the final report findings and recommendations indicating what action, if any, will be taken as a result of study findings as they relate to potential changes to the programs. Energy Division can choose to extend the 60 day limit if the administrator presents a compelling case that more time is needed and the delay will not cause any problems in the implementation schedule, and may shorten the time on a case-by-case basis if necessary to avoid delays in the schedule.

6. Energy Division aggregates evaluation contractor reports for each utility to quantify the portfolio resource benefits and uses that quantity in connection with the audit team reports to develop the draft **Final Performance Basis Report**. Energy Division will notify the CPUC Energy Efficiency service lists and lists of other interested stakeholders maintained by Energy Division of the availability of the draft Final Performance Basis Report and the website posting location. Energy Division also notifies all of those stakeholders of the conference described in the next Step.

-----Original Message-----

From: C Yin [mailto:cyin@yinsight.net]

Sent: Wednesday, March 17, 2010 5:17 AM

To: Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.; Clinton, Jeanne

Cc: Carol.Yin@sce.com; Yamagata, Joy C.; Don.Arambula@sce.com; Shilpa R Ramaiya; Athena Besa; L.Michelle.Thomas@sce.com; Osman, Ayat E.; Premo, Anne W.; Alyssa.Cherry@sce.com; Marian.Brown-sce.com

Subject: 60-day deadline clarification

Dear Jeanne, Zeny, Anne and all,

Michelle and I have a question about the 60-day deadline for utility responses. Our understanding is this:

Attachment 7 of D.07-09-043 states that the final claim and true-up of savings and performance basis estimates will be based on the Final Performance Basis Report. Report based on the following process:

- 1) Eval contractors post draft evaluation reports.
- 2) Eval contractors hold a conference to discuss report.
- 3) Stakeholders provide written comments
- 4) Eval contractors make changes to report based on comments.
- 5) Within 60 days of release of final report, program administrators will respond in writing to final report findings and recommendations indicating what action, if any, will be taken as a result of findings as they relate to potential changes to the programs.

Can I ask which report is the "final report"? Sorry, there are so many different names for these reports: do you mean the ED Staff Report that is coming out soon or the HIM impact evaluation reports that came out in Feb? Some of us think that you mean one, some think you mean the other.

1) If the 60-day recommendations are to be presented 60-day after the HIM impact evaluation reports, does this mean that there will be no further program recommendations made in the ED Staff Report?

2) Or, does it mean that the utilities will need to do make another response to the recommendations to the ED Staff Report (or Final Performance Basis Report) 60 days after that report is released?

3) Or, are you planning to have the CPUC evaluation contractors present some of the Staff Report

recommendations in the Debriefing Sessions, in anticipation of the Staff Report, so that the utilities can provide a response for all 06-08 recommendations by mid-April (ish)?

Any clarification from ED on these would be very very much appreciated!

Thank you,

Carol