

From: Horner, Trina  
Sent: 3/7/2010 12:49:48 PM  
To: 'Baker, Simon' (seb@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Skala, Pete' (ska@cpuc.ca.gov);  
'psd@cpuc.ca.gov' (psd@cpuc.ca.gov); 'nws@cpuc.ca.gov' (nws@cpuc.ca.gov);  
'cho@cpuc.ca.gov' (cho@cpuc.ca.gov); 'sc1@cpuc.ca.gov' (sc1@cpuc.ca.gov);  
'kwh@cpuc.ca.gov' (kwh@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Brooks, Donald J.' (dbr@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Re: PG&E RIM Slide Deck from 3/4 meeting

All,  
Antonio and Seth asked me to remind everyone that the integration cost results contained in this presentation obviously reflect the sample assumptions made in this particular demo; as we mentioned, the model requires a little more work by PG&E and no doubt everyone will have an opinion about what assumptions to make (that's part of the point of this model!). In any case, I know we discussed this at the meeting but because this deck doesn't contain all of those specific caveats, please consider it now caveated.

Best,  
Trina

---

**From:** Horner, Trina  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 04, 2010 3:02 PM  
**To:** 'Baker, Simon'; Skala, Pete; 'psd@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'cho@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Brooks, Donald J.'; 'kwh@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'sc1@cpuc.ca.gov'

**Subject:** PG&E RIM Slide Deck from 3/4 meeting

Hi all, thanks again for making the time to walk through the renewable integration model with us this morning. Attached is the slide deck from that meeting.

Trina  
<< File: 03-04-10 ED Presentation.ppt >>