

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
General Rate Case 2011 Phase I  
Application 09-12-020  
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	DRA_207-06		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_207-Q06		
Request Date:	March 15, 2010	Requester DR No.:	DRA-207-TLG
Date Sent:	March 29, 2010	Requesting Party:	DRA
PG&E Witness:	Redacted	Requester:	Tamera Godfrey

**SUBJECT: NEW BUSINESS AND WORK REQUESTED BY OTHERS FOR MWC EV AND EW.**

**QUESTION 6**

PG&E's recorded expenses increased by \$8.992 million between 2005 and 2006 from \$22.496 million to \$31.488 million. Provide the documentation that explains the increase in expenses between 2007 and 2008.

## ANSWER 6

Because DRA identifies the \$8.922 million increase between 2005 and 2006, PG&E believes DRA meant to ask for an explanation of the increase in expenses between 2005 and 2006, not 2007 and 2008, where the increase was smaller.

Attachment GRC2011-Ph-I\_DR\_DRA\_207-Q04 to 07\_Atch01.xls provides recorded expenditures by individual MAT (or work type) for the 2004 to 2008 period. Between 2005 and 2006, increased expenditures are primarily reflected in MAT EVA – Service inquiry, MAT EVB – OK to Service, MAT EW3 – Temporary Services, and MAT EWS – Support and Work Around SF.

Increases in MAT EVA and EVB charges between 2005 and 2006 were caused by changes in field charging practices during 2005, which lowered overall expenditures when compared to other years. When compared to 2004, 2006 expenditures in MWC EV actually decreased by 4%.

MAT EW3 covers costs associated with providing temporary construction power, which are normally borne entirely by the applicant for that service. PG&E reviews its cost for providing temporary construction power annually in an attempt to balance costs and billings. In 2005, net costs for MAT EW3 were a credit amount of \$763 thousand. Adjustments to the billing in 2006 brought the net cost to a debit amount of \$282 thousand causing the large swing in consecutive annual costs.

MAT EWS covers the cost to standby facilities in the City of San Francisco when governmental projects require other contract work in close proximity to PG&E facilities. EWS is significantly impacted by specific project work being performed by the City of San Francisco in 2006.