

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
General Rate Case 2011 Phase I
Application 09-12-020
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	DRA_217-01		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_217-Q01		
Request Date:	March 17, 2010	Requester DR No.:	DRA-217-DFB
Date Sent:	March 31, 2010	Requesting Party:	DRA
PG&E Witness:	Sanford Hartman	Requester:	Donna-Fay Bower

EXHIBIT REFERENCE: PG&E-6, CHAPTER 5

SUBJECT: LAW DEPARTMENT AND OTHER COSTS – INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS

QUESTION 1

On page 5-5, PG&E indicates information technology projects: “A software system to provide automated calendaring of court dates (estimated to cost \$15,000 in capital in 2011-2012 and \$50,000 in expenses in 2011), known as the Law Operations Enablement project, which will help improve the efficiency of the litigation attorneys.” What has PG&E used in the past for calendaring court dates for litigation attorneys?

ANSWER 1

As stated in response to Data Request DRA_052-07:

In the past, the Law Department used a combination of one or more of the following: Outside counsel handling the calendaring of dates, manually counting the number of days from a deadline, and an in-house Access database that did a rough calculation of the dates. Because of the impact of holidays and weekends on both filing deadlines and the additional days needed to complete service, all dates generated by the Access database had to be checked manually to make sure they were accurate.