- From: Mark McNulty
- Sent: 3/22/2010 3:43:46 PM

ABesa@SempraUtilities.com (ABesa@SempraUtilities.com); Lai, Peter (peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov); don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); Redacted

Redacted	
EKirchhoff@semprautilities.com (EKirchhoff@semprautili	ities.com);
CHarmstead@semprautilities.com (CHarmstead@sempraut	
(Darren.Hanway@sce.com); Redacted	· · ·
Redacted	
Redacted	Ramaiya, Shilpa
(/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Redacted	
Redacted	i
Redacted maria	an.brown@sce.com
(marian.brown@sce.com); shahana.samiullah@sce.com	0
(shahana.samiullah@sce.com); KMcKinley@semprautilitie	es.com
(KMcKinley@semprautilities.com); Kevin Madison Redacted	
LSidibe@semprautilities.com (LSidibe@semprautilities.com	m) Redacted
Redacted	
Matthew.Evans@sce (Matthew.Evans@sce.com); Jeff Hirs	sch
Redacted ; Martin.Vu@sce.com (Martin	n.Vu@sce.com); Paul
Reeves Redacted ; KValenzuela@sem	prautilities.com
(KValenzuela@semprautilities.com)[Redacted	-
Redacted	
Redacted	Tapawan-
Conway, Zenaida G. (zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.go	
steve.galanter@sce.com (steve.galanter@sce.com); Walsh,	
(natalie.walsh@cpuc.ca.gov); Steven.Long@sce.com (Stev	
Ford, Peter S. (PFord@semprautilities.com)	
, (U i)	

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: Re: Non-DEER Review Meeting- March 15th Proposed Agenda

Here is the 1st cut:

Process to Make Changed to DEER Version 2.05

The purpose of this document is to provide a written process to deal with mutually agreed upon errors, new measures and other changes can be considered, consistent with Decision 09-09-047.

"We also recognize that the Energy Division or utilities may identify new measures appropriate for inclusion in the 2010-2012 portfolios that are not yet included in current DEER measure datasets. We also recognize that errors may be identified in frozen measure ex ante values. <u>Energy Division, in consultation with the utilities,</u> <u>should develop a process</u> by which new measures values can be added to the frozen measure datasets and mutually agreed errors in the frozen values can be corrected." (p.43-44, emphasis added)

Utilities and ED will meet in informal workshops to review the updates to DEER Version 2.05 contained in DEER Version 3.02. as described in the document entitled "Jan2010 DEER Measure Database Update - v3.pdf".

Topics will include:

- 1. Large Office Primary Lighting Schedule
- 2. Boiler Sizing:
- 3. Hot Water Heater Sizing:
- 4. Packaged HVAC Specifications/CEE standards
- 5. DOE2.2 Bug Fixes:
- $6. \mbox{ Residential Lighting Profile for CFLs}$
- 7. Peak Period Demand Issues:
- 8. New Gas Measures
- $\boldsymbol{9}.$ Changes to the DEER Database Structure
- 10. Clarification of the impact of the changes from changes from 2.05 to 3.02 as described at the top of page 7 (e.g., definition of the terms "change at least slightly" and "changed significantly").
- 11. Walk through of the modeling approaches used to estimate the negative gas impacts or heating energy "take back" are estimated for both residential and non-residential in DEER Version 2.05 and the proposed approach in DEER Version 3.02.
- 12. Discuss what additional documentation is needed to provide a record of the methods used to estimate the DEER load impact values.
- 13. Others

The proposed approach will be that ED will explain and/or demonstrate how the savings were developed in both the V2.05 and 3.02 cases. After the explanation from ED, the topic will be classified as either 1) technical corrections that will be made in the 2010-2012 cycle; 2) technical corrections that will be made in 2013; 3) technical corrections that will rejected by the IOUs and subject to further review.

The most desirable outcome after all of the topic have been reviewed and classified, that the existing or a new version of the Workbooks can be developed for use in 2010 -2012. The revised workbooks would be available no later than May 15th 2010.

If the ED and the IOUs cannot reach a compromise on the changes and it is deemed that a set of workbooks cannot be developed, then the IOUs will submit supporting workpapers for the High Impact Measures (HIMs) that have not been submitted by _____(date). The ED will request an extension of time to review the workpapers.

Original Message	
From: Lai, Peter	
To: <u>abesa@semprautilities.com</u>	
<u>CHarmstead@semprautilities.com; Darren; Don.Arambula@sce.com;</u>	
EKirchhoff@semprautilities.com; Redacted Jeff	
Hirsch ; Kevin Madison ; KMcKinley@semprautilities.com ; Redacted	
KValenzuela@semprautilities.com; LSidibe@semprautilities.com;	
Marian.Brown@sce.com; Redacted Martin.Vu@sce.com;	
Matthew.Evans@sce Redacted Paul Reeves ; Robert Kasman ;	
Shahana Samiullah@sce.com; Redacted	
Redacted ; Steve.Galanter@sce.com ; Steven.Long@sce.com ; Tapawan-	
Conway, Zenaida G.; Walsh, Natalie	
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2010 2:20 PM	
Subject: RE: Non-DEER Review Meeting- March 15th Proposed Agenda	

HI All,

Please see below the proposed agenda for this afternoon's meeting. Also I've attached version 4 of the draft Custom Applications Review Process document, incorporating comments from last Monday's meeting, and suggested text changes from SCE.

Thanks,

Peter

ED-Utilities

Non-DEER Review Meeting

Monday, March 22, 2010, 3:30-5:00 PM

Call-in No: Redacted

Participant code: Redacted (Please use only this passcode when calling-in)

Proposed Agenda

- 1. Logistics for this Thursday's in-person meeting
- 2. Straw proposal for a game plan for moving forward