

Process to Make Changes to DEER Version 2.05

The purpose of this document is to provide a written process to deal with mutually agreed upon errors, new measures and other changes can be considered, consistent with Decision 09-09-047.

“We also recognize that the Energy Division or utilities may identify new measures appropriate for inclusion in the 2010-2012 portfolios that are not yet included in current DEER measure datasets. We also recognize that errors may be identified in frozen measure ex ante values. Energy Division, in consultation with the utilities, should develop a process by which new measures values can be added to the frozen measure datasets and mutually agreed errors in the frozen values can be corrected.” (p.43-44, emphasis added)

Utilities and ED will meet in informal workshops to review the updates to DEER Version 2.05 contained in DEER Version 3.02. as described in the document entitled “Jan2010 DEER Measure Database Update - v3.pdf”.

Topics will include:

1. Large Office Primary Lighting Schedule
2. Boiler Sizing:
3. Hot Water Heater Sizing:
4. Packaged HVAC Specifications/CEE standards
5. DOE2.2 Bug Fixes:
6. Residential Lighting Profile for CFLs
7. Peak Period Demand Issues:
8. New Gas Measures
9. Changes to the DEER Database Structure
10. Clarification of the impact of the changes from changes from 2.05 to 3.02 as described at the top of page 7 (e.g., definition of the terms “change at least slightly” and “changed significantly”).
11. Walk through of the modeling approaches used to estimate the negative gas impacts or heating energy “take back” are estimated for both residential and non-residential in DEER Version 2.05 and the proposed approach in DEER Version 3.02.

12. Discuss what additional documentation is needed to provide a record of the methods used to estimate the DEER load impact values.

13. Others

The proposed approach will be that ED will explain and/or demonstrate how the savings were developed in both the V2.05 and 3.02 cases. After the explanation from ED, the topic will be classified as either 1) technical corrections that will be made in the 2010-2012 cycle; 2) technical corrections that will be made in 2013; 3) technical corrections that will be rejected by the IOUs and subject to further review.

The most desirable outcome after all of the topics have been reviewed and classified, that the existing or a new version of the Workbooks can be developed for use in 2010 – 2012. The revised workbooks would be available no later than May 15th 2010.

If the ED and the IOUs cannot reach a compromise on the changes and it is deemed that a set of workbooks cannot be developed, then the IOUs will submit supporting workpapers for the High Impact Measures (HIMs) that have not been submitted by _____ (date). The ED will request an extension of time to review the workpapers.