

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: 3/17/2010 5:14:22 PM
To: 'simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov' (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Re: 3/18 PHC in EE proceeding (R.09-11-014) - Procurement-related EE issues

Thanks, Simon, will do. Does ED have a sense of what makes the best procedural sense (which proceeding)?
Trina

From: Baker, Simon <simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: Horner, Trina; Keilani, Wendy <WKeilani@semprautilities.com>; colin.cushnie@sce.com <colin.cushnie@sce.com>
Cc: [Redacted]; Carl.Silsbee@sce.com <Carl.Silsbee@sce.com>; Randerson@semprautilities.com <Randerson@semprautilities.com>; horwata@sce.com <horwata@sce.com>; Tvonder@semprautilities.com <Tvonder@semprautilities.com>; [Redacted]
Sent: Wed Mar 17 15:31:35 2010
Subject: 3/18 PHC in EE proceeding (R.09-11-014) - Procurement-related EE issues

Hi
All,

Sorry for the late notice, but it has come to my attention that there is a PHC in the new EE proceeding (R.09-11-014) being held **tomorrow 3/18**. I am told that the preliminary scoping memo in the R.09-11-014 OIR deals primarily with evaluation, measurement, and verification (E,M&V), and not EE goals issues.

Given the recent developments in the CEC's uncommitted EE report and issues related to translating EE goals into the procurement purposes, you want to consider raising any concerns from an IOU perspective as possible issues to be scoped into R.09-11-014.

Best,

Simon Eilif Baker

Regulatory Analyst

Procurement, Renewables & Climate
Strategy Branch

California Public Utilities Commission -
Energy Division

seb@cpuc.ca.gov

415-703-5649



Please consider the
environment before printing this e-mail