

From: Roscow, Steve
Sent: 3/9/2010 2:42:44 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);
Clanon, Paul (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Cc: Hartman, Sanford (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLHb)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Marin is okay with data exchange

Yes.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@PGE.COM]
Sent: Tuesday, March 09, 2010 2:38 PM
To: Clanon, Paul; Roscow, Steve; [Redacted]
Cc: Hartman, Sanford (Law)
Subject: RE: Marin is okay with data exchange

[Redact] - can you give Steve a call to see what format issues we have ? I'm assuming that we can provide Steve data in the same format we provided to MEA. Steve will then take our list and MEAs list and identify those accounts who have opted out. Steve will then total the projected load in aggregate (a single number) and provide MEA (and PG&E a copy) with the aggregate data that represents opted out load under Phase I only. Did I capture that correctly Steve ?

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 09, 2010 2:34 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K; Roscow, Steve
Subject: FW: Marin is okay with data exchange

Brian, Marin is a go on the data. See Steve's suggestion below.

From: Roscow, Steve
Sent: Tuesday, March 09, 2010 2:33 PM
To: Clanon, Paul
Subject: Marin is okay with data exchange

It would be useful to know the format of PG&E's list, so Marin can match it, thus making the comparison easier for me. To that end, it might be useful to have a joint call with Redact (PG&E) and Marin (probably Navigant guys).

Late today, or tomorrow morning?

Steve