

From: Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Sent: 3/11/2010 9:15:23 AM
To: 'matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov' (matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: FW: Electric Reliability Comparison

Per my phone call.....

From: Dasso, Kevin
Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2010 7:49 AM
To: Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Cc: Cherry, Brian K; Marre, Charles; Van Mieghem, Peter (Law); Redacted Salas, Edward A (ET)
Subject: Electric Reliability Comparison

Privileged and Confidential

John,

For your information and use, the 3 IOUs filed their electric reliability reports for 2009 last week. These reports are developed using the CPUC approved major event exclusion methodology which is different than PG&E's internal performance reporting methodology. The results are as follows:

	PG&E	SCE	SDG&E
SAIDI	163	106	66
SAIFI	1.192	0.94	0.538
CAIDI (Calc)	136.7	112.7	122.7

Both PG&E and SCE also provided 2009 results using the same method PG&E uses internally in their report to the CPUC. The results are as follows:

	PG&E	SCE
SAIDI	131.3	88.77
SAIFI	1.111	0.83
CAIDI (Calc)	118.2	106.95

Please note that the CAIDI values included are not reported to the CPUC but can be easily calculated using reported SAIDI and SAIFI values. Despite improvement relative to internal targets in 2009, PG&E's results still lag SCE and SDG&E by about the same amount we have seen historically.

Kevin