

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
General Rate Case 2011 Phase I
Application 09-12-020
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	DRA_186-02q		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_186-Q02q		
Request Date:	March 9, 2010	Requester DR No.:	DRA-186-TLG
Date Sent:	March 23, 2010	Requesting Party:	DRA
PG&E Witness:	Redacted	Requester:	Tamera Godfrey

SUBJECT: ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES FOR MWC BF, BG, AND BK

QUESTION 2Q

PG&E forecasted \$40.712 million for MWC BF. This is an increase of \$7.487 million or 22.53% over 2008 recorded adjusted expenses of \$33.225 million. PG&E's MWC BF includes individual forecasts for ten subaccounts/line items. The questions below relate to the following five subaccounts/line items and forecast: \$4.984 million for Poles Patrolled, \$11.122 million for Poles Inspected, \$2.398 million for Enclosures Patrolled, \$10.464 million for Enclosures Inspected, and \$0.857 million for Poles Infrared Inspected.

- q) PG&E did not provide the specific details to fully explain and justify its new work on enclosures inspected that will cause increases in the test year and instead provides examples "such as obtaining asset manufacturing information or facility information". Provide the documentation that explains in detail the specifics of the new work. Also provide copies of PG&E's cost benefit analysis performed and all documentation that PG&E's management relied upon to determine that this work was required in the test year and other documentation that shows PG&E's step by step management approval process for each project (i.e. person(s) requesting project, project preparation, scope, research performed for need/requirements, design, test, implementation, review and communication of needs and expectations, defined deliverables, etc).

ANSWER 2Q

The 2011 forecast includes \$12.50 per enclosure to handle new work such as obtaining asset manufacturing information or facility information. A cost benefit analysis was not performed to determine that this work was required in the test year, rather, this forecast is based on EDM Program Management judgment based on a trend of requests to identify and verify field locations during 2008 (for more information, see the response for 2p of the Data Request).