

From: Walsh, Natalie
Sent: 4/22/2010 8:01:04 PM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)
Cc: don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); Besa, Athena
(ABesa@semprautilities.com)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: 2006-08 Results and 2010-12 Ex Ante

It may be timing, since Peter and I didn't actually talk until today.

We can try to clarify the groundrules on the call with the IOUs tomorrow. We may need to distinguish between requiring use of info from the 06-08 evaluations and suggesting that there is relevant info in the recently completed evaluations that may be useful for the IOUs to consider. Its possible that the technical consultants reviewing the workpapers used info from the recent evaluations to inform their review of these nonDEER measures. I need to get a better understanding of the specific feedback we actually provided and why it was so controversial that it triggered this brouhaha.

As an example, I understand that one of the IOU workpapers used a "best guess" for operating hours. Peter apparently suggested that, instead of guessing, the IOU could use the actual measured operating hours from a relevant 06-08 evaluation. This seems to me to be a reasonable suggestion. But, if the IOU doesn't agree and would prefer to use a best guess, so be it.

There might also have been a misunderstanding on the part of our team as to whether the nonDEER measures are subject to the Jan 1 cutoff date for considering the latest and best info available, since the workpapers were submitted (resubmitted) more recently. I think I've straightened this out, but it may take time to filter through to the consultants.

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R [mailto:SRRd@pge.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2010 5:23 PM
To: Walsh,
Natalie
Cc: Besa, Athena; Don.Arambula@sce.com
Subject:
2006-08 Results and 2010-12 Ex Ante

Natalie,

Thanks for talking with Athena and I yesterday.
My engineering staff says that same issue about applying 2006-08 results to the ex ante values for 2010-12 came up again today.

Were you able to discuss with Peter? This may just be a timing issue of when the meeting was held and when you were able to reach him.

I just want to avoid a misunderstanding that the 2006-08 results will be applied to 2010-12 ex ante lockdown values.

Thanks!! I'd appreciate the clarification.
Shilpa