

From: Gupta, Alope  
Sent: 4/1/2010 1:31:44 PM  
To: Meadows, James L (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J7M2)  
Cc: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Draft agenda for meeting next tuesday

Jim:

Thanks for putting this together. Some additional thoughts.

After item 4 (before 5), would it make sense to add an item for PG&E to discuss the "trigger" for this project (history/nature of complaints, testing and other actions undertaken by PG&E in response)? That's probably worth an hour at least. To fit this, we could cut item 6 to one hour.

Also, item 5 could be expanded to include a discussion of all "advance prep" steps that PGE has already prepared in response to my request (dedicated support team, org chart, etc.) and could be done after item 6 and flow right into item 7. This way PGE could also respond to any support requests that Structure might suggest during item 6.

Alope

**From:** Meadows, James L [mailto:J7M2@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 01, 2010 10:33 AM  
**To:** Alope; Gupta, Alope  
**Cc:** Dietz, Sidney  
**Subject:** Draft agenda for meeting next tuesday

Aloke - as discussed. An agenda for you and  
Structure to consider for Tuesday.

Jim  
<<Agenda for initial meeting with CPUC Consultants.doc>>