

From: Gupta, Alope  
Sent: 4/5/2010 11:05:31 AM  
To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)  
Cc: Meadows, James L (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J7M2);  
Devereaux, William  
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WFD4)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Draft agenda for meeting next Tuesday / location?

Sid:

Which building should we arrive at tomorrow?

Alope

-----Original Message-----

From: Gupta, Alope  
Sent: Friday, April 02, 2010 1:00 PM  
To: 'Dietz, Sidney'  
Cc: 'Meadows, James L'; 'Devereaux, William'  
Subject: RE: Draft agenda for meeting next tuesday

Structure has also requested a phone in the meeting room as one person would like to call in.

Alope

-----Original Message-----

From: Gupta, Alope  
Sent: Friday, April 02, 2010 11:59 AM  
To: 'Dietz, Sidney'  
Cc: 'Meadows, James L'; 'Devereaux, William'  
Subject: RE: Draft agenda for meeting next tuesday

Sid:

To follow up on my exchange with Jim yesterday, the consultant team will be 7 (see below), plus 2-3 from CPUC. Also, it is ok to defer the item I suggested in my last email (complaint history/data and actions/testing undertaken by PGE in response) to Wed AM (as Jim was suggesting).

Lastly, could you please modify the schedule slightly to allow for 1.5hr lunch, so that the team could go out for lunch. There is no need for PG&E to cater lunch. Thanks and look forward to our meeting.

Aloke

Attendees:

Redacted

Mark Morosky - Trimark  
Mario Marquez - Trimark

---

From: Gupta, Aloke  
Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2010 1:26 PM  
To: 'Meadows, James L'  
Cc: Dietz, Sidney  
Subject: RE: Draft agenda for meeting next tuesday

Jim:

Thanks for putting this together. Some additional thoughts.

After item 4 (before 5), would it make sense to add an item for PG&E to discuss the "trigger" for this project (history/nature of complaints, testing and other actions undertaken by PG&E in response)? That's probably worth an hour at least. To fit this, we could cut item 6 to one hour.

Also, item 5 could be expanded to include a discussion of all "advance prep" steps that PGE has already prepared in reponse to my request (dedicated support team, org chart, etc.) and could be done after item 6 and flow right into item 7. This way PGE could also respond to any support requests that Structure might suggest during item 6.

Aloke

---

From: Meadows, James L [<mailto:J7M2@pge.com>]  
Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2010 10:33 AM  
To: Alope; Gupta, Alope  
Cc: Dietz, Sidney  
Subject: Draft agenda for meeting next tuesday

Alope - as discussed. An agenda for you and Structure to consider for Tuesday.

Jim <<Agenda for initial meeting with CPUC Consultants.doc>>