From: Gupta, Aloke

Sent: 4/30/2010 11:23:53 AM

To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Devereaux,

William (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WFD4)

Cc: Nwamu, Chonda (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJN3);

Meadows, James L (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J7M2);

Kaneshiro, Bruce (bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Request for monthly reports

Thanks, Bill.

Since I will be at PG&E for a 1pm meeting, one option is for us to meet at 3:30 that same afternoon on this. I have a separate conference all in between ending at 3:30 which I could while still at PG&E.

Aloke

From: Devereaux, William [mailto:WFD4@pge.com]

Sent: Fri 4/30/2010 7:17 AM **To:** Gupta, Aloke; Dietz, Sidney

Cc: Meadows, James L; Kaneshiro, Bruce; Nwamu, Chonda (Law)

Subject: RE: Request for monthly reports

Aloke,

We understand the request and will meet today to get our hands around how long it might take to prepare them to be released. We'll reach out to you today to find time on Monday to discuss our plan with you and the team.

Thanks,

Bill

From: Gupta, Aloke [mailto:aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:24 PM **To:** Dietz, Sidney; Devereaux, William **Cc:** Meadows, James L; Kaneshiro, Bruce

Hello Bill, Sid: CPUC OGA indicates that Sen. Florez's office would still like to have the monthly reports (Exec. Committee presentations) that have been marked Sec. 583 by PG&E (please note that they already have the semi/annual reports). After reviewing the situation on our side, we feel the best course of action is for PG&E to release the reports as is, or alternatively, if that is not possible, for PG&E to go through the slides and redact the minimum required information before releasing them. If PG&E does choose to redact some information, please note that we would require an explanation regarding the basis for keeping that information confidential for each case. Also, in the case of redaction (again, hopefully very minimal and on an expedited basis), we would suggest releasing the reports on a staggered basis as quickly as possible. We would also like to follow this up with a call with you to discuss this request and your plan/schedule to implement it. Let's try to set this up for Mon. Thanks. Aloke **From:** Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com] **Sent:** Thursday, April 22, 2010 1:18 PM To: Gupta, Aloke Cc: Devereaux, William; Meadows, James L **Subject:** RE: a request re monthly reports? Aloke --Yes, those monthly reports are filed under 583, which is appropriate. There are, among other things, sensitive vendor data in those reports. Redacting the reports would be very time consuming, and I don't think we would be able to redact in time to get the documents out. The semi-annual reports, which are

public and served to the service list, would be a better set of documents and could be released. Let me

Subject: Request for monthly reports

Importance: High

know if you have any questions, and I also plan to call you on the phone.
yours,
sid
From: Gupta, Aloke [mailto:aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2010 11:23 AM To: Dietz, Sidney Cc: Devereaux, William; Meadows, James L Subject: a request re monthly reports? Importance: High
Hi Sid:
I just tried to call you on your cell. We have received a request from Sen. Florez office, via OGA, for copies of the AMI monthly reports (Exec. Committee presentations) that PGE files with CPUC. Our understanding is that they are all filed under Sec. 583. Could you confirm this? And if so, given the request, would PGE be comfortable with removing the 583 restriction and let us release the reports? Alternatively, would PGE require that the reports be redacted? Please advise asap.
Aloke
Aloke Gupta
Energy Analyst, California PUC
Email: ag2@cpuc.ca.gov
Phone: 415.703.5239