

From: Blumer, Werner M.
Sent: 4/5/2010 11:04:49 AM
To: Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS); boverturf@SEMPRAUTILITIES.COM (boverturf@SEMPRAUTILITIES.COM)
Cc: Darrah.Morgan@sce.com (Darrah.Morgan@sce.com); Schumacher, Brian D. (brian.schumacher@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL 2453-E

Dear Mr.. Hughes and
Ms. Overturf:

Subject SCE AL
requests inclusion of "developer" in the "Applicant"
definition reflecting SCE's changed treatment of those with regards
to Line and Service extensions in a manner already practice with PG&E and
SDG&E since 1992.

Evaluation of this
proposal revealed however that PG&E's and SDG&E's tariff does
not define "developer" specifically as Applicant. For the sake of
clarity and compliance with R. 92-03-050 for tariff consistency we suggest
that PG&E and SDG&E consider amending their tariffs
accordingly and request your plan on this issue.

Thank you very much
for your response by April 12, 2010.

Sincerely,

Werner
Blumer

CPUC - Energy
Division