

From: Clinton, Jeanne
Sent: 4/5/2010 8:39:33 AM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd);
ABesa@SempraUtilities.com (ABesa@SempraUtilities.com);
don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); Redacted
Redacted Carol.Yin@sce.com
(Carol.Yin@sce.com)
Cc: Fogel, Cathleen A. (cathleen.fogel@cpuc.ca.gov); Osman, Ayat E.
(ayat.osman@cpuc.ca.gov); Cammarata, Jordana
(jordana.cammarata@cpuc.ca.gov); Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G. (zenaida.tapawan-
conway@cpuc.ca.gov); Finnigan, Jennifer R. (jennifer.finnigan@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Sempra feedback on Res New Construction performance metrics example

Athena -- thanks for taking the time to go through the metrics to assess practicalities and meaningfulness. I think it a great suggestion that we spend time on this during the latter part of our time Tuesday when we have the New Construction et. al. folks assembled at the CPUC for the Knowledge Transfer "Round 2" session. I will contact our folks re: doing so.

A heads up on 2 dimensions:

1) We very much DO want to engage in some "on the spot" thinking about possible program change implications in our sessions with you all. There need not be any commitment, but we definitely want to explore these possibilities together.

2) There seem to me to be up to another dozen "metrics" that if redefined in some way, seems like useful kinds of interim activities, sub-outcomes? to be tracking. We can talk about this Tuesday.

I don't have your 3/31 (4:27 pm) e-mail handy in my in-box to "Reply all", so could you pass this message along to any of the other utility folks I've missed now who might want to engage tomorrow? (I filed it on my hard drive already, and am not in office at the moment.)

best,

Jeanne