

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 4/30/2010 5:19:47 PM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
[Redacted] 'Roscow, Steve'
(steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Bottorff, Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3);
Fitch, Julie A. (julie.fitch@cpuc.ca.gov); Clanon, Paul (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov);
Kahlon, Gurbux (gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov); Yura, Jane
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKY1); Hartman, Sanford
(Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLHb); Warner, Christopher
(Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJW5)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: CCA "below the line" spending: NEW Energy Division request for April 2010
information

Steve - thanks for your note. Unfortunately, we won't be able to comply within the time period you have requested. I believe PG&E's accounting books close for a specific month in the second week of the following month - so April data won't even close internally until the second week of May. In addition, data is often not available for aggregation until about the third week of the month when all the reconciliation becomes available in SAP. I will ask Daren to check with Accounting and Finance about the specific times for closing and reconciliation. I also ask that Daren get you a date by which we can comply with your request as expeditiously as possible (soon after the accounting information is available). I have cc'd Jane Yura, our VP of Regulation and Rates, to make sure that she has a case manager in her organization who will compile the data for transfer to you.

I would request that you not release any BTL information to external parties until I receive clarification from internal parties that this is proper. Given the late hour on Friday, I can't get that authority today. My general feeling is that it would be inappropriate to share BTL information with external parties. One could argue that BTL information is proprietary to PG&E and is being provided to the Commission to aid them in their efforts to ensure customer funds are not used in activities for which they are prohibited. However, that doesn't mean they would not remain protected under S583. Keep in mind that I'm not a lawyer - just a layman - and I will get a more complete answer Monday.

Brian

From: Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2010 4:00 PM
To: Horner, Trina; Cherry, Brian K; [Redacted]
Cc: Fitch, Julie A.; Kahlon, Gurbux; Clanon, Paul
Subject: CCA "below the line" spending: NEW Energy Division request for April 2010 information

Hello, Trina, Brian [Redacted]

Now that April is concluding, please provide Energy Division with an updated report of PG&E's "below

the line” spending with respect to CCA activities in Marin and San Francisco—that would be for the calendar month of April only.

Please provide a response in 5 business days, by Friday May 7th, using the same Excel format as you used for the previous responses.

Also, we have received inquiries, and would like to know if you object to providing the press with the “summary” of your BTL spending to date? It would look like the table below. It isn’t clear to me why this could be protected under PU Code Section 583.

Thank you,

Steve Roscow

CPUC Energy Division

415-703-1189

□

**SAN FRANCISCO AND MARIN BELOW THE LINE
CCA EXPENSES**

**FOR THE PERIOD: JANUARY 1, 2007 THROUGH
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

Redacted

From: Roscow, Steve
Sent: Thursday, April 08, 2010 2:36 PM
To: Horner, Trina; 'Cherry, Brian K'
Cc: Fitch, Julie A.; Kahlon, Gurbux
Subject: CCA: Energy Division request for supplemental information

Hello, Trina and Brian:

As you'll recall, in February PG&E provided the Energy Division with a report of its "below the line" spending with respect to CCA activities in Marin and San Francisco (see Q#1 of the attached Data Response). We'd now like to request an update that covers the first quarter of 2010. Please provide the information in the same Excel format as you used for the February response.

Please provide your response in 10 working days or sooner, i.e., no later than Thursday, April 22, 2010.

Thank you,

Steve Roscow

CPUC Energy Division

415-703-1189

From: Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, February 01, 2010 5:01 PM
To: Roscow, Steve
Subject: Homework from CCA BTL meeting

Hi Steve,

As promised, I'm sending along the homework items from the meeting that [Redacted] and I had with you and Gurbux in late December regarding PG&E's CCA-related below-the-line accounting and

procedures. FYI, I had the questions and answers put into the standard data request template just because that is the format that folks here are used to working with; that is the Word document. The Excel file contains the summary and detail of the Marin and SF expenses going back to 2007, in response to the first question; I think this is the same template used for the SJVPA-related expense reporting but definitely let me know if it is not what you wanted. As we discussed, this information is confidential, provided pursuant to P.U. Code Section 583.

Let me know if you'd like to discuss this information or if it raises further questions for you that PG&E can address.

Trina

<<ED Data Response CCA BTL 2-1-10.doc>> <<SF-MARIN CCA Expenses (ED Request).xls>>