

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
General Rate Case 2011 Phase I
Application 09-12-020
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	DRA_206-06b		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_206-Q06b		
Request Date:	March 12, 2010	Requester DR No.:	DRA-206-TLG
Date Sent:	April 2, 2010	Requesting Party:	DRA
PG&E Witness:	Redacted	Requester:	Tamera Godfrey

SUBJECT: ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES FOR MWC BF, BG, AND BK.

QUESTION 6B

PG&E forecasted \$1.150 million for Pole Numbering in 2011. PG&E does not show any units, unit costs or recorded expenses for 2007 and 2008 for pole numbering. PG&E has forecasted 230,000 units in 2011 for pole numbering work and this is an increase of 225,845 units over 2006. The three year average for the years 2004 through 2006, in recorded units is 30,045 and PG&E's forecast of 230,000 units is an increase of 66.55% over the three year average.

- b) Provide the documentation that explains in detail why PG&E's recorded 2007 and 2008 expenses for Pole Numbering does not show any amounts. Provide the documentation that explains in detail if PG&E performed any Pole Numbering work during 2007 and 2008, if not, state why the work was not done and explain the impacts on system safety and reliability.

ANSWER 6B

There are no recorded expenses in 2007 and 2008 for pole numbering during this period. In 2006, PG&E stopped this work while other options were being evaluated. During this period when pole numbering was not performed, Division personnel continued to focus on and address safety and reliability issues. They had to rely on their existing processes and information (e.g., paper maps, equipment numbers if available, other descriptive information such as street address, etc.) to locate, research, and plan work.