

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
General Rate Case 2011 Phase I  
Application 09-12-020  
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	DRA_206-06d		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_206-Q06d		
Request Date:	March 12, 2010	Requester DR No.:	DRA-206-TLG
Date Sent:	April 6, 2010	Requesting Party:	DRA
PG&E Witness:	Redacted	Requester:	Tamera Godfrey

**SUBJECT: ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES FOR MWC BF, BG, AND BK.**

**QUESTION 6D**

PG&E forecasted \$1.150 million for Pole Numbering in 2011. PG&E does not show any units, unit costs or recorded expenses for 2007 and 2008 for pole numbering. PG&E has forecasted 230,000 units in 2011 for pole numbering work and this is an increase of 225,845 units over 2006. The three year average for the years 2004 through 2006, in recorded units is 30,045 and PG&E's forecast of 230,000 units is an increase of 66.55% over the three year average.

- d) PG&E states that in its "Opening Brief an accelerated pole numbering plan to address the OIR" was proposed and that the "difference between the pole numbering plan in the opening brief and this GRC testimony is that the plan described in the opening brief is an accelerated plan (3 years). This testimony proposes a 10-year plan for asset management and management of notification purposes".
- 1) Provide all related documentation (i.e. copy of the specific pages that explain the 3 year pole numbering plan and costs) that explains in detail PG&E's proposed accelerated 3 year plan proposed in its Opening Brief and the breakdown of all calculations for expenses.
  - 2) Provide all related documentation that explains in detail PG&E's proposed accelerated 10 year plan proposed in this GRC and the breakdown of all calculations and source documents for expenses relating to asset management and management of notification.
  - 3) Provide the documentation that explains in detail specifically how PG&E's proposal in its Opening Brief and its request this GRC are incorporated.

**ANSWER 6D**

1) There is no detailed documentation for the 3-year pole numbering plan and high-level forecast PG&E proposed in its Opening Brief for the CPSD OIR. The high-level forecast was \$14 million and was based on the unit cost of \$5.00/pole (the same unit cost in the proposed 10-year plan in 2011 GRC) and increasing that unit cost by \$1.00 to account for additional contractor costs associated with the 3-year accelerated effort proposed in the Opening Brief.

2) For documentation of PG&E's 10-year plan, see Exhibit (PG&E-3), Chapter 2, page 2-36, lines 1-17 and Workpapers 2-45, line 32 and 2-53, footnote reference (r). These documents provide the forecasted units and basis for the forecasted unit cost to number poles in a 10-year plan. As a point of clarification, please note that PG&E does not consider the 10-year plan the Company proposes in the 2011 GRC as "accelerated." Rather, the 3 year plan discussed in PG&E's Opening Brief for the CPSD OIR is accelerated.

3) The high-level forecast associated with the 3-year accelerated option to address the proposed requirements of the CPSD OIR are not included in PG&E's 2011 GRC forecast. Only the 10-year option to number poles is included in PG&E's 2011 GRC Forecast (see the response to Question 6D.2 above for more information).