

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 4/9/2010 1:09:35 PM
To: 'Blumer, Werner M.' (werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLG0); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
'Darrah.Morgan@sce.com' (Darrah.Morgan@sce.com); Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS); 'Caulson, Megan' (mcaulson@semprautilities.com)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL 2453-E

Werner,

Along that same vein and for the sake of consistency, PG&E agrees to modify the definition sections of its Rule 15 and Rule 16 to conform with the "Applicant" language proposed by SCE. The new definition will read.

Applicant: A person, developer, or agency requesting utility to supply electric service

To further clarify this new Rule 15/16 definition of "Applicant", with respect to the definition of "Applicant" found elsewhere in PG&E's tariffs, it is likely that we will also file for changes to the definition of "Applicant" in our Rule 1. These changes will be along the lines of the more expansive definition of Applicant found in SCE's Electric Rule 1.

We expect to file these changes in the next couple of weeks.

Please call if you have any questions.

[Redacted]
Regulation and Rates
Manager, Gas and Electric Tariffs
[Redacted]

From: Caulson, Megan [mailto:Mcaulson@semprautilities.com]
Sent: Friday, April 09, 2010 12:41 PM
To: 'Blumer, Werner M.'
Cc: Mayers, Corey; 'Darrah.Morgan@sce.com'
Subject: FW: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL 2453-E

Werner,

Hope you've been doing well...

Per your note below, SDG&E has reviewed it's definition of "Applicant" in both Electric Rules 15 & 16 and is in agreement with the recommendation from the ED to clarify our current understanding/processes by adding the word "developer" to the current definition of Applicant.

I'll get an Advice Letter drafted to modify SDG&E's Electric Rule 15 -- Distribution Line Extensions (Section J - Definitions) & Electric Rule 16 -- Service Extensions (Section H - Definitions) so that they will read:

Applicant: A person, developer, or agency requesting utility to supply electric service

Please let me know if anything changes or we need to do anything further.

Thanks,
Megan Caulson
SDG&E Rates, Regulations & Tariffs

From: Blumer, Werner M. <werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: Hughes, John (Reg Rel) <J8HS@pge.com>; [Redacted]
Cc: Darrah.Morgan@sce.com <Darrah.Morgan@sce.com>; Schumacher, Brian D. <brian.schumacher@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Mon Apr 05 11:04:49 2010
Subject: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL 2453-E

Dear Mr.. Hughes and [Redacted]

Subject SCE AL requests inclusion of "developer" in the "Applicant" definition reflecting SCE's changed treatment of those with regards to Line and Service extensions in a manner already practice with PG&E and SDG&E since 1992.

Evaluation of this proposal revealed however that PG&E's and SDG&E's tariff does not define "developer" specifically as Applicant. For the sake of clarity and compliance with R. 92-03-050 for tariff consistency we suggest that PG&E and SDG&E consider amending their tariffs accordingly and request your plan on this issue.

Thank you very much for your response by April 12, 2010.

Sincerely,

Werner Blumer

CPUC - Energy Division