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## Subject: Law Department and Other Costs

## Question 1

In reference to PG\&E's response to DRA-012-DFB Q7Atch02. Effective January 1, 2009, 10 employees were transferred from PG\&E Corporation to the Utility Law Department PCC 10448.
a. Please explain why and how PG\&E determined that 10 employees needed to be transferred to the Utility Law Department PCC 10448.
b. Prior to the transfer of employees in 2009, how many employees worked in law for the Utility side? Provide job titles and salary for each employee.
c. Was there a time allocation factor for time tracking purposes prior to the transfer of employees to the Utility side? If yes, please explain time allocation and time tracking for Holding Company and Utility.
d. Please provide breakdown of expenses associated with the 10 employees transferred to the Utility from Holding Company that are embedded in Accounts 920, 921, and 923 for the years 2004-2009.

## Answer 1

a. In Chapter 3, PG\&E Corporation Cost Recovery Policy, Exhibit (PG\&E-6), Administrative and General Expense, pages 3-3 and 3-4, PG\&E provides an explanation for transferring PG\&E Corporation employees to the utility.

PG\&E states:
"Transferring these employees from PG\&E Corporation to the Company is consistent not only with PG\&E Corporation's focus on the Company, but also with the reality of the employees' workload. For the vast majority of the transferred employees, virtually all of their workload concerns Company operations and services.

To the extent Company employees perform work for PG\&E Corporation or its non-Company subsidiaries, the Company has not sought, and will not seek, recovery of the associated costs through rates. In 2009, PG\&E conducted an analysis of the orders used to track the costs of the PG\&E employees who transferred to the Company. PG\&E then forecast the work that such employees would perform for non-Company purposed in 2011 and removed the costs from PG\&E's 2011 GRC request. In addition, like all PG\&E employees, the employees who transferred from PG\&E Corporation to the Company will continue to comply with all applicable affiliate rules."
b. As of $12 / 31 / 2008,161.25$ employees worked in the Utility Law Department (PCC 10448 and PCC 10451). Please see Attachment GRC2011-Ph-1_DR_DRA_237Q1bAtch01 for the requested information.
c. No, there was no time allocation factor for time tracking purposes for the Corporation Law employees (PCC 20021) prior to the transfer to the Utility.

Prior to 2008, the PG\&E Corporation Law attorneys did not use a time tracking system. In 2008, the PG\&E Corporation Law attorneys began to use the same time tracking system as the Utility Law Department attorneys.
d. PG\&E objects to this data request to the extent it requests 2009 actual data, while PG\&E's 2011 GRC request is based on 2008 recorded data. Notwithstanding the foregoing and without waiving PG\&E's right to object to the admissibility of such data into evidence, PG\&E responds as follows.

The following table shows the 2004-2008 recorded costs for PG\&E's Corporation Law Department (PCC 20021) as they appear in SAP.

| PCC 20021 HC Law nenartment | 2004 Actual | 2005 Actual | 2006 Actual | 2007 Actual | 2008 Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor - Productive | \$ 1.485.133 | \$ 1.574.216 | \$ 1.522.593 | \$ 1.473 .152 | \$ 1.218.592 |
| Labor - Burden | \$ 445.540 | \$ 472.265 | \$ 456.778 | \$ 578.506 | \$ 476.500 |
| TOTAL LABOR | \$ 1,930,673 | \$ 2,046,480 | \$ 1,979,371 | \$ 2,051,658 | \$ 1,695,092 |
| Outside Services | \$ 3.084.599* | \$ 1.340.134 | \$ 1.874.188 | \$ 1.165.221 | \$ 916.435 |
| Materials | \$ 28.553 | \$ 14.211 | \$ 11.541 | \$ 26.023 | \$ 16.449 |
| Facilitv | \$ 561.950 | \$ 364.355 | \$ 314.754 | \$ 304.456 | \$ 304.572 |
| Emplovee and Other | \$ 304.732 | \$ 165.473 | \$ 125.650 | \$ 176.603 | \$ 141.696 |
| IT | \$ 266.108 | \$ 252.490 | \$ 226.970 | \$ 201.792 | \$ 166.357 |
| Charges from the Utilitv | \$ 8.354 | \$ 2.947 | \$ 402.125 | \$ 435.426 | \$ 152.339 |
| Activitv Charaes Out | \$ (1.541) |  | \$ (6.901) | \$ (23.553) | \$ (50.875) |
| TOTAL | \$ 6,183,427 | \$ 4,186,090 | \$ 4,927,697 | \$ 4,337,626 | \$ 3,342,063 |
| Headcount | 14 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 10 |

* Adiusted. See WP 5-10 and 5-16

Please note that PCC 20021 had more then 10 employees in years 2004-2007, and that these are also not the same 10 employees that transferred in 2009. PG\&E is unable to separate out the costs associated with just the 10 transferred employees. Therefore, the table above shows costs for all employees for these years. Please note that burdens are included in SAP recorded costs and therefore the costs shown above do not correspond to the figures shown in Exhibit (PG\&E-6), Chapter 5 of PG\&E's testimony and workpapers (which excludes burden costs).

In 2009, the Corporation Law Department employees were transferred to the Utility Law Department (PCC 10448) and their costs were co-mingled with the Utility costs.
Therefore, PG\&E is unable separate out the 2009 actual costs that would have been associated with just the 10 transferred employees.

