

From: Blumer, Werner M.  
Sent: 4/12/2010 11:30:21 AM  
To: [Redacted]  
Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLG0); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
Darrah.Morgan@sce.com (Darrah.Morgan@sce.com); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Hughes, John (Reg  
Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS); Caulson, Megan  
(MCaulson@semprautilities.com)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15  
and 16 and SCE AL 2453-E

[Redacted]

Thank you for your positive and fast reply. Meanwhile I talked to SCE and they will contact you about a further clarification in the definition of Applicant (adding "residential or non-residential developers" and "permanent service"). Of course this definition would only be for Rules 15 and 16.

Have a great day.

Werner

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 09, 2010 1:10 PM  
**To:** Blumer, Werner  
M.  
**Cc:** Darrah.Morgan@sce.com; Garber, Stephen (Law); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Hughes, John (Reg Rel); Caulson, Megan  
**Subject:** RE: DATA  
REQUEST: Compliance with R. 92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE  
AL 2453-E

Werner,

Along that same vein and for the sake of consistency, PG&E agrees to modify the definition sections of its Rule 15 and Rule 16 to conform with the "Applicant" language proposed by SCE. The new definition will read.

Applicant: A person, developer, or agency requesting utility to supply electric service

To further clarify this new Rule 15/16 definition of "Applicant", with respect to the definition of "Applicant" found elsewhere in PG&E's tariffs, it is likely that we will also file for changes to the definition of "Applicant" in our Rule 1. These changes will be along the lines of the more expansive definition of Applicant found in SCE's Electric Rule 1.

We expect to file these changes in the next couple of weeks.

Please call if you have any questions.

Redacted

Regulation and Rates

Manager, Gas and Electric Tariffs

Redacted

**From:** Caulson, Megan  
[mailto:MCaulson@semprautilities.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 09, 2010

12:41 PM

**To:** 'Blumer, Werner M.'

**Cc:** [Redacted]

'Darrah.Morgan@sce.com'

**Subject:** FW: DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R.  
92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL  
2453-E

Werner,

Hope you've been doing  
well...

Per your note below, SDG&E has reviewed it's definition of "Applicant" in both Electric Rules 15 & 16 and is in agreement with the recommendation from the ED to clarify our current understanding/processes by adding the word "developer" to the current definition of Applicant.

I'll get an Advice Letter drafted to modify SDG&E's Electric Rule 15 -- Distribution Line Extensions (Section J - Definitions) & Electric Rule 16 -- Service Extensions (Section H - Definitions) so that they will read:

Applicant: A person, developer, or agency requesting utility to supply electric service

Please let me know if anything changes or we need to do anything further.

Thanks,

Megan Caulson

SDG&E Rates, Regulations &  
Tariffs

**From:** Blumer,  
Werner M. <werner.blumer@cpuc.ca.gov>  
**To:** Hughes, John (Pen Rel)  
<J8HS@pge.com>; [Redacted]  
**Cc:** Darrah.Morgan@sce.com  
<Darrah.Morgan@sce.com>; Schumacher, Brian D.  
<brian.schumacher@cpuc.ca.gov>  
**Sent:** Mon Apr 05 11:04:49 2010  
**Subject:** DATA REQUEST: Compliance with R.  
92-03-050 standardization of Rules 15 and 16 and SCE AL  
2453-E

Dear Mr. Hughes and [Redacted]  
[Redacted]

Subject SCE AL requests inclusion of  
"developer" in the "Applicant" definition reflecting SCE's  
changed treatment of those with regards to Line and Service extensions in a  
manner already practice with PG&E and SDG&E since 1992.

Evaluation of this proposal revealed  
however that PG&E's and SDG&E's tariff does not define  
"developer" specifically as Applicant. For the sake of clarity and  
compliance with R. 92-03-050 for tariff consistency we suggest  
that PG&E and SDG&E consider amending their tariffs  
accordingly and request your plan on this  
issue.

Thank you very much for your  
response by April 12, 2010.

Sincerely,

Werner  
Blumer

CPUC - Energy  
Division