

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
General Rate Case 2011 Phase I
Application 09-12-020
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	DRA_251-04		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_251-Q04		
Request Date:	April 6, 2010	Requester DR No.:	DRA-251-DFB
Date Sent:	April 20, 2010	Requesting Party:	DRA
PG&E Witness:	Hudson Martin	Requester:	Donna Fay Bower

EXHIBIT REFERENCE: PG&E-6, CHAPTER 6

SUBJECT: RISK AND AUDIT DEPARTMENT COSTS AND INSURANCE EXPENSES

QUESTION 4

In response to DRA-223-DFB, Question 11, DRA asked if any costs associated with the BCM IT project were included in Corporate Security Department costs. PG&E stated: "Corporate Security Department has not included in its budget any cost associated with this IT project." Please provide a detailed explanation as to why there are \$500,000 in Outside Services for this IT project included in Corporate Security Department costs for test year 2011.

ANSWER 4

The response to DRA-223-DFB, Question 11 should have stated that Corporate Security has not included in its budget any costs associated with the BC management and notification system purchase . However, as explained in response to Question 1 of DRA-251, the Corporate Security Department did include \$500,000 in its 2011 Outside Services forecast to hire a third party to conduct initial administration of the BC management and notification system and to perform a maturity model assessment of the BCM program. PG&E will submit a supplemental response to DRA-223, Question 11 to correct this error.