	A	B	C D I					D	
23		Pacific Gas and Electric Company*					Legent		P
$\frac{4}{5}$	1000, 004.4						Overw	ritten	
6	Applicat	ion Development Project Complexity and Sizing Worksheet				Not Updatable		datable	1779 C. Makayan,
7						Defaul	t Value		
ا				a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a				1	
9		Date Checklist Completed:	6/29/2009						
10	*******************************	ITWR # (if applicable):							
11		Proposal Description: Electric Distribution Operations (Program 13)							
12		Client Portfolio Lead:					,		
13		Anticipated Start Date of Project (MM/DD/YYYY):	1/1/2011				†		^{10,10} v/mv, ex in , av
14		Anticipated End Date of Project (MM/DD/YYYY):	12/31/2013	1997/1979/1976 1989	- 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	743 59 Feela cam rev 1			
15					and a second		- ++ ++ + + + + ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++		
16	Please pr	ovide a response for ALL criterial The responses provided impact the	Total Score for the propose	d project wh	ich haine datarmire the Brallmireau Bralles Aret		<u> </u>		
1	in an ann an a		The second se	a project, wi	inci helps determine the Presiminary Project Cost.	Statistics and		·	
17		CRITERIA	RESPONSE		ASSUMPTIONS	SCORE			
18	1	Expected duration of the project (in weeks):	156		(Calculated Based on Anticipated Start/End Dates, above)	6			
19	2	Anticipated ISTS Application Development Labor Days	329		Significant (T participation	3	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
20	3	How many 3rd party vendor firms will provide services for this project?	3 or More		DMS, OMS, SCADA	6			
21	4	If the technology is known, has it been successfully implemented before at PG&E?	Yes		Continuation of ED operations	6	·····		
22	5	How well are the Requirements for this proposal known by the Business (have the Requirements been documented)?	Medium		Business Requirements known, but formal requirements have not yet been developed	6	h		********
23	6	Is there a pre-existing PG&E support group to maintain/support the application?	Yes		Addition to current support teams	2 ··	nalandihatilah kon 14, 4		*******
24	7	What is the level of dependency on other projects (e.g. resources, deliverables, etc.)?	Medium		Shared IT resources, Dist Automation	2	1-1 -1 -1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		
25	8	Will the system exchange or provide data to any entities outside of PG&E (suppliers, customers, regulatory agencies, etc)?	No		No direct data sharing	4		•	
26	9	What is the level of criticality of the system to the users and PG&E customers?	Business Critica	1	Reliability	12		h	····
27	10	How many internal PG&E users will be impacted by this project?	161-500		Multiple business units partipating and affected	6	907-904 da a a cara cara cara a a cara a a cara a a cara a car		**************************************
28	11	What is the anticipated amount of formal training that will be required for PG&E users?	High		New technology and potential changes to business processess	9		+ ·· · ······ ···	
29	12	How many PG&E Lines of Business (LOBs) will be impacted by the project?	1		Electric Distribution Operations	3			
<u>30</u> 31					TOTAL SCORE:	65	annal an ait Cruch S 1 1 1 1		unnersenengen (n.) ook
32	Addition	al Notes & Assumptions:		1994 1994 1 al (1974 / 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974					
33									••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
34	Distribution	Outage & Restoration Systems (DORS) are a large part of the overall Electric Di	stribution Operations program.	Twelve of the 12	7 line items are related directly to DORS. Control contor notivities	-			
36	ſ							-	
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	h	fenteren i en company



LABOR DAYS SUBTOTAL:

Project Complexity and Size Factor. TOTAL LABOR DAYS:

443

133

577

591

177

769

739

222

991

\$108,727

\$661,650 \$198,495

\$860,145

\$498,238

\$148,871

\$645,109

\$138,908

\$827,063

\$248,119

\$1,075,181

Cost Planning CoE Prelimiary Application Development Project Cost Checklist

Application Development Preliminary Project Costing Checklist



HARDWARE LABOR, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COSTS

PRIMARY COST CRITERIA INFRASTRUCTURE	COMMENTS (ASSUMPTIONS	LOW	PRELIMINARY COST MID	HIGH
Hardware, Network, etc Costs (includes Labor)	(Default based on User Impact)	\$300,000	\$450,000	\$600,000
System/Data Availability and Recovery	(Default Based on System Criticality and Data Protection/Retention Requirements)	\$300,008	\$450,000	\$600,008
User Training Materials Costs	(Default Based on Anticipated Amount of Formal User Training)	\$21,250	\$27.625	\$34 000
Miscellaneous/Additional Costs (Licensing, Overheads - Facilities Costs, Telephony,				
etc)	COST SUBTOTA	\$15,000,000 4L: \$15,621,250	\$17,750,000	\$20,500,000
	Project Complexity and Size Fact TOTAL HARDWARE, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COST	or: \$4,686,375 FS: \$20,307,625	\$5,603,288 \$24,280,813	\$6,520,200 \$28,254,200

TOTAL PRELIMINARY PROJECT COST: \$20,963,000

MID HIGH \$29,329,000 designed to be been \$25,141,000

F6		- <u>A</u>				r	
Deploy	Design	Analyza	Project Mgm	Stage	and all the second second second	1/1/2011	Project Start Date
8/21/2013	1 7/17/2011 1 2/21/2012	1////1/2011 3/29/2011	1/1/2011	Slart Date		12/31/2013	Project End Date
12/31/2013	1/14/2012	3/28/2011	12/31/2013	End Date		961	I work effort in days
3-5%	15-35% 25-60%	5-10%		Typical Work Allocation Percentage by Stage	A	1,095	duration in days
12% 100%	30%	10%		% of stage effort (do not change)		10%	PM %
12% 12% 100% 9	20%	10%		Override stage effort (override Col C)	وموادقه والمعادية وال	96	PM Days
104 60.853 1	173	88	8	Slage Work Days		865	Plan thru Days
20% 12% 100% 1	20%	10%	_	% stage duration			
218 131 131	219 129	88		Duration in days			
36 56	357		8	Net Work Days	105		
					ourca peols:		
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Percentage Total		totes	
123	259	50	96	Ukunday Total		orkdays	
		0.5	0	Business Analyst	various		
				Project Manager	various		
		i and		Application Designer	various		
				Configuration Manager	Env CoE		
			0.	Programmer	App Services		
0			0.0	esi Lead & Tester	Softwar		
			4	Detabase Administrator Data Architect	DBA		
•		- - -	7	schrical Architect	SP&A	7	
•		•	1	echnical Architect	Infrastr ucture		
· Maria				echnical Archilect	App Services		
		1	T.	echnical Architeci	Env Cal		
			7 5	ectancal Operations upport Specialist	Env		
	2012		ļŗ	regration Solution	Various		
0.5	- 0.5	•	H A ST	unan Pertormance Ichiect Ianang Administrator	Business		
0.5 - 0.1	0.5		0.00 100 C	uman Performunce ronited arang Administrator aptoyment Luad & pecialist unca introduction Lead	Business Con		
	- 0.5 - 0.1 - 0.5 - 0.1		C I I K C C C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C	umat Performance context strang Administrator oppyment Livari & progent ander Infoduction Listed ox FTES punded in the genress .5	Deployment Deployment		