		_							
-	Α	В	С	D	Н	J	M	N	0
2		Pacific Gas and							
3		Electric Company*							
4	1861.625.44								
5	Annlicat	ion Development Project Complexity and Sizing Worksheet							
7	нррисац	Development Froject Complexity and Sizing Worksheet							
8		Proposal Description:	Rate, Tariff and E	Bill Calculation Simplification	Initiative				
9		IT Business Partner:		Sameh Ali					
10		Date:	7/17/2008						
11									
12	A respon	se must be selected or entered for ALL criteria! The responses pro	vided affect the	total score for the propos	sed project, which determines the preliminary project cost.				
13	#	CRITERIA		RESPONSE	ASSUMPTIONS	SCORE			
14	1	What is the expected impact to the IT Infrastructure?		None		3			ı
15	2	What is the expected duration of the project, in months?		18		6			ı
16	3	What is the expected <u>average</u> IT project team size?		7		3			ı
17	4	How many 3rd party vendor firms will provide services for this project?		0-1		2			
18	5	If the technology is known, has it been successfully implemented before at PG&E?		No		9			
19	6	How well are the Requirements for this proposal known by the Business (have the Requirements been documented)?		Low		9			
20	7	Is there a pre-existing PG&E support group to maintain/support the application?		Yes		2			
21	8	What is the level of dependency on other projects (e.g. resources, deliverables, etc)?		Medium		2			
22	9	Will the system exchange or provide data to any entities outside of PG&E (suppliers, customers, regulatory agencies, etc)?		No		4			
23	10	How many internal PG&E users will be impacted by this project?		150-1000		6			
24	11	How many internal PG&E users will require formal training?		150-1000		2			
25	12	How many PG&E Lines of Business (LOBs) will be impacted by the project?		1		3			
26 27					TOTAL SCORE:	51			
28 I		Lect Complexity and Size Factor cannot be changed and is 10%, 20% ges are added to the Preliminary Labor and Cost figures to account							
29 30			TOTAL SCORE	PERCENTAGE ADDED					
31			33 - 48	10%					
32			49 - 62	20%					
33			63 - 75	30%					

Cell: B14

Comment: A measure of the expected impact on the existing PG&E IT Infrastructure

Cell: D14

Comment: None = Existing Infrastructure will not need to be modified. Assumes existing capacity can be utilized.

Enhancements = Additional capacity required on existing standard Infrastructure, such as additional servers, storage, etc.

New Infrastructure = New non-standard Infrastructure will need to be deployed and utilized.

Cell: B15

Comment: The expected duration of the project, between 1 and 36 months

Cell: B16

Comment: The AVERAGE number of total IT resources (Employees and Contractors) between 1 and 50 working on the project at any given time

Cell: B1

Comment: This indicates the number of 3rd-party vendor firms, NOT individual contributors and is intended to reflect potential additional project management effort to manage external vendors

Cell: B18

Comment: Has the technology to be implemented during the project been previously implemented at PG&E? How familiar are the project resources with the technology?

Cell: D18

Comment: Yes = The technology has been successfully implemented before at PG&E. Resources are very familiar with the technology.

No = The technology has not been attempted or implemented successfully previously. Resources have little or no familiarity with the technology.

Cell: B19

Comment: Does the Business fully understand their needs in completing the project? Have their needs been agreed to and documented?

Cell: D19

Comment: Low = The Business has no knowledge of the Requirements for the proposal: no Requirements have been discussed or documented.

Medium = The Business has minimal knowledge of the Requirements for the proposal; some of the Requirements have been discussed and documented.

High = The Business has a good understanding of the Requirements for the proposal; many of the Requirements have been discussed and documented.

Cell: B20

Comment: Can the proposed project/application be maintained and supported by an existing PG&E support group (Help Desk, Operations Group, System Administrators, etc)?

Cell: D20

Comment: Yes = The project/application can be maintained and supported by an existing PG&E support group

No = The project/application cannot be maintained and supported by an existing PG&E support group

Cell: B21

Comment: Are any of the proposed project's resources, deliverables, processes, or technology dependent on any other project or initiative?

Cell: D2

Comment: Low = The proposed project has little or no dependency on other projects or initiatives

Medium = The proposed project has some dependency on other projects or initiatives

High = The proposed project is highly dependent on other projects or initiatives

Cell: B22

Comment: Is data being passed through the PG&E firewall? May impact project risk and complexity.

Cell: D22

Comment: No = No data will be passed through the PG&E firewall

Yes = Data will be passed through the PG&E firewall

Cell: B23

Comment: Measures the degree of change/impact to the organization. Higher numbers imply greater need for change management, training, and number of new/modified business processes.

Cell: B24

Comment: A measure of Training effort in terms of training preparation and delivery

Project Complexity and Sizing

Cell: B25 Comment: The PG&E Lines of Business are:

Energy Delivery
Engineering & Operations
Customer Care
Generation
Energy Procurement
Finance
HR
Risk & Audit
Shared Services



Application Development Preliminary Project Costing Checklist

PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION:	Rate, Tariff and Bill	Calculation Simplification Initiative	
IT Business Partner:		Sameh Ali	
DATE:	7/17/2008		
•		Weight	
PG&E ISTS Labor Blended Daily Rate per Resource	\$853.00	70%	
External ISTS Labor Blended Daily Rate per Resource	\$1,491.00 30%		
COMBINED ISTS BLENDED DAILY RATE PER RESOURCE	\$1,044.40		
		Weight	
PG&E Business Labor Blended Daily Rate per Resource	\$957.00	100%	
External Business Labor Blended Daily Rate per Resource	\$1,916.00	0%	
COMBINED BUSINESS BLENDED DAILY RATE PER RESOURCE	\$957.00		

LABOR

		PRELIMINARY LAB	OR ESTIMATE (DAYS)	PRELIMINARY	COST ESTIMATE
PRIMARY COST CRITERIA	COMMENTS / ASSUMPTIONS	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH
ISTS APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT	·				
STS Application Development Labor Days (Project Management through Service atroduction/Deployment), including Middleware, Integration, Configuration, etc.	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	1,890	3,150	\$1,973,916	\$3,289,860
	Default Calculated Labor Days:	1,890	3,150	\$1,973,916	\$3,289,860
PG&E BUSINESS					
G&E Business Labor	20% of Application Development Labor	378	630	\$361,746	\$602,910
TECHNICAL ARCHITECTURE					
echnical Architecture Labor Days (Analyze/Design/Build/Test) for Development, xecution, and Operations environments necessary to support the Application.	13% of Application Development Labor	246	410	\$256,609	\$427,682
USER TRAINING & PERFORMANCE SUPPORT	<u> </u>				***************************************
Ser Training and Performance Support Labor Days (Analyze/Design/Build/Test) for the ffort to create Training Material and Communications Plan to support the Application bllout.	15% of Application Development Labor	284	473	\$296,087	\$493,479
	LABOR DAYS SUBTOTAL:	2,797	4,662	\$2,888,358	\$4,813,931
	Project Complexity and Size Factor:	559	932	\$288,836	\$481,393
	TOTAL LABOR DAYS:	3.357	5 594	\$3 177 194	\$5.295.324

Application Development Preliminary Project Costing Checklist

PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION:	Rate, Tariff and Bill Calculation Simplification Initiative					
IT Business Partner:		Sameh Ali				
DATE:	7/17/2008					

HARDWARE, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COSTS

	PRELIMINARY	COST ESTIMATE	
PRIMARY COST CRITERIA	COMMENTS / ASSUMPTIONS	LOW	HIGH
LICENSING			
Vendor Software Licensing Costs	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0
Operating System, Application Server(s), and Database Licensing Costs	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0
Security/Monitoring Licensing Costs	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0
INFRASTRUCTURE			
Hardware, Network, etc Costs	Purchase of Flat Screens		
APPLICATION RETIREMENT			
Application Decommissioning Costs	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0
ONGOING OPERATE & MAINTENANCE			•
Software License Maintenance Costs	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0
Infrastructure Operate & Maintenance Costs	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0
Application Operate & Maintenance Costs	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0
USER TRAINING			
Jser Training Materials Costs	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0
MISCELLANEOUS COSTS			
Miscellaneous/Additional Costs (Overheads - Facilities Costs, Telephony, etc)	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0
<u> </u>	COST SUBTOTAL:	\$0	\$0
	Project Complexity and Size Factor:	\$0	\$0
	TOTAL HARDWARE, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COSTS:	\$0	\$0

	LOW	HIGH
TOTAL PRELIMINARY PROJECT COST:	\$3,177,194	\$5,295,324

App	olication Development Project Complexityand Sizing Descriptions	s			
				POSSIBLE RESPONSES	
#	Criteria		1	2	3
1	What is the expected impact to the IT Infrastructure?		None	Enhancements	New Infrastructure
	Rich N - criteria to determine complexity, enhancement vs new Infra?		Existing Infrastructure will not be modified. Assumes existing capacity can be utilized.	Additional capacity required on existing Infrastructure, such as additional servers, storage, etc standard supported Infra	New Infrastructure, not currently supported
2	What is the expected duration of the project, in months?		1-9 Months	10-17 Months	18+ Months
3	What is the expected average ISTS Application Development project team size (both PG&E employess and contractors)?		1-10 People	11-20 People	21+ People
	Average number of resources working on the project at any given time - not total team size				
4	How many 3rd party vendor firms will provide services for this project?		0-1	2	3 or More
	Not individual resources, only vendor firms; potential additional project management effort to manage external vendors				
5	If the technology is known, has it been successfully implemented before at PG&E?			Yes	No
	Has the technology to be implemented during the project been previously implemented at PG&E? How familiar are the project resources with the technology?			The technology has been successfully implemented before at PG&E. Resources are very familiar with the technology.	The technology has not been attempted or implemented successfully previously. Resources have little or no familiarity with the technology.
6	How well are the Requirements for this proposal known by the Business (have the Requirements been documented)?		High	Medium	Low
	Does the Business fully understand their needs in completing the project? Have their needs been agreed to and documented? Has the Business prioritized the project and their needs? Does the Business understand what is needed in order to complete the project?		The Business has a good understanding of the Requirements for the proposal; many of the Requirements have been discussed and documented.	The Business has minimal knowledge of the Requirements for the proposal; some of the Requirements have been discussed and documented.	The Business has no knowledge of the Requirements for the proposal; no Requirements have been discussed or documented.
7	Is there a pre-existing PG&E support group to maintain/support the application?			Yes	No
	Can the proposed project/application be maintained and supported by an existing PG&E support group (Help Desk, Operations Group, System Administrators, etc)?			The project/application can be maintained and supported by an existing PG&E support group	The project/application cannot be maintained and supported by an existing PG&E support group
8	What is the level of dependency on other projects (e.g. resources, deliverables, etc)?		Low	Medium	High
	Is the proposed project dependent on any other projects or initiatives? Are any of the proposed project's resources, deliverables, processes, or technology dependent on any other project or initiative?		The proposed project has little or no dependency on other projects or initiatives	The proposed project has some dependency on other projects or initiatives	The proposed project is highly dependent on other projects or initiatives

#	Criteria	1	2	3
	Will the system exchange or provide data to any entities outside of PG&E (suppliers, customers, regulatory agencies, etc)?		No	Yes
	Is data being passed through the PG&E firewall? May impact project risk and complexity.		No data will be passed through the PG&E firewall	Data will be passed through the PG&E firewall
10	How many internal PG&E users will be impacted by this project?	<150	150-1000	1001 or More
	Measures the degree of change/impact to the organization. Higher numbers imply greater need for change management, training, and number of new/modified business processes.			
11	How many internal PG&E users will require formal training?	<150	150-1000	1001 or More
	A measure of Training effort in terms of training preparation and delivery.			
12	How many PG&E Lines of Business (LOBs) will be impacted by the project (Customer Care. Energy Delivery, ISTS, Generation, Shared Services)?	1	2-3	4 or More