Α	В	C [)	K	N	0	Р
	Pacific Gas and			S. 1 6 11 8 12 1	Legend		
+	Electric Company*					l ble/Modifiable	
Int Col.					Overwr		
Annlis	nation Development Brainst Complexity and Siring Warkshoot				Not Upo Default		
Applic	cation Development Project Complexity and Sizing Worksheet			8888883	Derauit	value	
3							
	Date Checklist Completed:	3/1/2009					
)	ITWR # (if applicable):						
1	Proposal Description:		Employee Self Service Capability				
2	Client Portfolio Lead:	Brent Altman					
3	Anticipated Start Date of Project (MM/DD/YYYY):	1/1/2011					
4	Anticipated End Date of Project (MM/DD/YYYY):	12/31/2012					
5							
Please	provide a response for ALL criterial. The responses provided impact t	he Total Score for the proposed	project, which helps determine the Preliminary Project Cost.				
7 #	CRITERIA	RESPONSE	ASSUMPTIONS	SCORE			
1	Expected duration of the project (in weeks):	104	(Calculated Based on Anticipated Start/End Dates, above)	4			
2	Anticipated ISTS Application Development Labor Days			FALSE			
3	How many 3rd party vendor firms will provide services for this project?	1-2	(Please Enter An Assumption)	4			
4	If the technology is known, has it been successfully implemented before at PG&E?	Yes	(Please Enter An Assumption)	6			
5	How well are the Requirements for this proposal known by the Business (have the Requirements been documented)?	Medium	(Please Enter An Assumption)	6			
6	Is there a pre-existing PG&E support group to maintain/support the application?	Yes	(Please Enter An Assumption)	2			
7	What is the level of dependency on other projects (e.g. resources, deliverables, etc)?	Low	(Please Enter An Assumption)	1			
8	Will the system exchange or provide data to any entities outside of PG&E (suppliers, customers, regulatory agencies, etc)?	No	(Please Enter An Assumption)	4			
	1	Business Standard	(Please Enter An Assumption)	6			
9	What is the level of criticality of the system to the users and PG&E customers?	Dusiness Standard					
10	What is the level of criticality of the system to the users and PG&E customers? How many internal PG&E users will be impacted by this project?	>500	(Please Enter An Assumption)	9			
8			(Please Enter An Assumption) (Please Enter An Assumption)	9			
, 10	How many internal PG&E users will be impacted by this project? What is the anticipated amount of formal training that will be required for PG&E	>500					

Pacific Gas and Electric 3/30/2010

Cell: B18

Comment: Duration is calculated based on the above start and end project dates.

Cell: B19

Comment: High level estimate of application development labor days (project management through service introduction/deployment) including middleware, integration, configuration, etc.

Cell: B20

Comment: This indicates the number of 3rd-party vendor firms, NOT individual contributors and is intended to reflect potential additional project management effort to manage external vendors

Cell: B21

Comment: Has the technology to be implemented during the project been previously implemented at PG&E? How familiar are the project resources with the technology?

Cell: C21

Comment: Yes = The technology has been successfully implemented before at PG&E. Resources are very familiar with the technology.

No = The technology has not been attempted or implemented successfully previously. Resources have little or no familiarity with the technology.

Cell: B22

Comment: Does the Business fully understand their needs in completing the project? Have their needs been agreed to and documented?

Cell: C22

Comment: Low = The Business has no knowledge of the Requirements for the proposal; no Requirements have been discussed or documented.

Medium = The Business has minimal knowledge of the Requirements for the proposal; some of the Requirements have been discussed and documented.

High = The Business has a good understanding of the Requirements for the proposal; many of the Requirements have been discussed and documented.

Cell: B23

Comment: Can the proposed project/application be maintained and supported by an existing PG&E support group (Help Desk, Operations Group, System Administrators, etc)?

Cell: C2:

Comment: Yes = The project/application can be maintained and supported by an existing PG&E support group

No = The project/application cannot be maintained and supported by an existing PG&E support group

Cell: B24

Comment: Are any of the proposed project's resources, deliverables, processes, or technology dependent on any other project or initiative?

Calle Co

Comment: Low = The proposed project has little or no dependency on other projects or initiatives

Medium = The proposed project has some dependency on other projects or initiatives

High = The proposed project is highly dependent on other projects or initiatives

Cell: B25

Comment: Is data being passed through the PG&E firewall? May impact project risk and complexity.

Cell: C2

Comment: No = No data will be passed through the PG&E firewall

Yes = Data will be passed through the PG&E firewall

Cell: B26

Comment: A measure of the criticality of the system to users and PG&E customers

Cell: C26

Comment: Business Critical: requires the highest possible availability; outage/failure recovery time is minutes or hours (e.g., SCADA systems)

Business Important: requires high availability; outage/failure recovery time is less than 24 hours

Business Standard: default category, most systems will fit this category; does not require high availability; outage/failure recovery time is less than 2 days

 $Business\ Historical; does\ not\ require\ high\ availability; outage/failure\ recovery\ time\ is\ 2-5\ days\ (e.g.,\ storage\ systems)$

Cell: B27

Comment: Measures the degree of change/impact to the organization. Higher numbers imply greater need for change management, training, and number of new/modified business processes

Pacific Gas and Electric 3/30/2010

Cell: B28

Comment: A measure of the total effort required to formally train all users, considering that multiple users may be trained concurrently (e.g., classroom)

Cell: C28
Comment: Low = <7 Hours of Deliverable Content
Medium = 8-14 Hours of Deliverable Content
High = >14 Hours of Deliverable Content

Cell: B29
Comment: The PG&E Lines of Business are:

Energy Delivery
Engineering & Operations
Customer Care
Generation
Energy Procurement
Finance
HR
Risk & Audit
Shared Services

Pacific Gas and Electric 3/30/2010

PGSE	Pacific Gas and
D Maria	Electric Company®

Application Development Preliminary Project Costing Checklist

ecklist			Enterable/Modifiable Overwritten Not Updatable Default Value	Legend	200
Date Checklist Completed:	3/1/2009				
ITWR # (if applicable):	0				
Proposal Description:		Emplo	yee Self Service Capability		
Client Portfolio Lead:	Brent Altman				
Anticipated Start Date of Project (MM/DD/YYYY):	1/1/2011				
Anticipated End Date of Project (MM/DD/YYYY):	12/31/2012				

		Weight
PG&E ISTS Labor Blended Daily Rate per Resource	\$941.16	70%
External ISTS Labor Blended Daily Rate per Resource	\$1,481.52	30%
COMBINED ISTS BLENDED DAILY RATE PER RESOURCE	\$1,103.27	
•		Weight
PG&E Business Labor Blended Daily Rate per Resource	\$995.28	Weight 75%
PG&E Business Labor Blended Daily Rate per Resource External Business Labor Blended Daily Rate per Resource		

APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT LABOR

APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT LABOR								
			P	RELIMINARY EFFORT (DA'	YS)		PRELIMINARY COST	
PRIMARY COST CRITERIA	co	MMENTS / ASSUMPTIONS	LOW	MID	HIGH	LOW	MID	HIGH
ISTS APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT								
STS Application Development Labor Days (Project Management through Service ntroduction/Deployment), including Middleware, Integration, Configuration, etc.	(You	Must Enter An Assumption)	1,000	1,500	2,000	\$1,103,268	\$1,654,902	\$2,206,536
		Default Calculated Labor Days:	0	0	0	\$1,103,268	\$1,654,902	\$2,206,536
PG&E BUSINESS	% of App Dev Labor	j=-pox						
PG&E Business Labor	20%	(Default = 20% of App Dev Labor)	200	300	400	\$248,927	\$373,390	\$497,853
TECHNICAL ARCHITECTURE	% of App Dev Labor	SOUND						1
Fechnical Architecture Labor Days (Analyze/Design/Build/Test) for Development, Execution, and Operations environments necessary to support the Application.	30%	(Default based on Number of Users Impacted)	300	450	600	\$330,980	\$496,471	\$661,961
USER TRAINING & PERFORMANCE SUPPORT	% of App Dev Labor	P''(1000	allitz (annum 1977) annum 1990 annum 1990 a					
Jser Training and Performance Support Labor Days (Analyze/Design/Build/Test) for he effort to create Training Material and Communications Plan to support the Application rollout.	20%	(Default based on Anticipated Amount of Formal User Training)	200	300	400	\$220,654	\$330,980	\$441,307
		LABOR DAYS SUBTOTAL:	1,700	2,550	3,400	\$1,903,829	\$2,855,743	\$3,807,657
		Project Complexity and Size Factor:	340	510	680	\$380,766	\$571,149	\$761,531
		TOTAL LABOR DAYS:	2,040	3,060	4,080	\$2,284,594	\$3,426,891	\$4,569,188

EmployeeSelf ServiceCapability Page4 of 6

Application Development Preliminary Project Costing Checklist

		Default Value
Date Checklist Completed:	3/1/2009	
ITWR # (if applicable):	0	
Proposal Description:		Employee Self Service Capability
Client Portfolio Lead:	Brent Altman	

HARDWARE LABOR, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COSTS

AND WAILE LADON, MATERIALO, AND OTHER COOTS			PRELIMINARY COST	
PRIMARY COST CRITERIA	COMMENTS / ASSUMPTIONS	LOW	MID	HIGH
INFRASTRUCTURE				
rdware, Network, etc Costs (includes Labor)	(Default based on User Impact)	\$200,000	\$450,000	\$700,000
stem/Data Availability and Recovery	(Default Based on System Criticality and Data Protection/Retention Requirements)	\$100,000	\$225,000	\$350,000
USER TRAINING	1000			
er Training Materials Costs	(Default Based on Anticipated Amount of Formal User Training)	\$14,875	\$21,250	\$27,625
MISCELLANEOUS COSTS	ENAIN .			
scellaneous/Additional Costs (Licensing, Overheads - Facilities Costs, Telephony,	(You Must Enter An Assumption)	\$0	\$0	\$0
,·	COST SUBTOTAL:	\$314,875	\$696,250	\$1,077,625
	Project Complexity and Size Factor:	\$62,975	\$139,250	\$215,525
	TOTAL HARDWARE, MATERIALS, AND OTHER COSTS:	\$377,850	\$835,500	\$1,293,150

	LOW	MID
TOTAL PRELIMINARY PROJECT COST:	\$2,662,000	\$4,262,000 \$5,862,000

EmployeeSelf ServiceCapability Page5 of 6

SB_	
G	
&S	
076	
50	
12	

	Deploy	Test	Build	Design	Analyze	Plan	Project Mgmt		Stage		1/1/2011	Project Start Date
	10/4/2012		10/5/2011	5/12/2011		1/1/2011	1/1/2011		Start Date		12/31/2012	Project End Date
	12/31/2012	10/4/2012	5/11/2012	10/5/2011	5/12/2011	2/28/2011	12/31/2012		End Date		4,080	work effort duration in in days days
	3-5%	10-25%	25-60%	15-35%	5-10%	1-5%			Typical Work Allocation Percentage by Stage		730	duration in days
100%	12%	20%	30%	20%	10%	8%			% of stage effort (do not change)		10%	PM %
100%	12%	20%	30%	20%	10%	8%			Override stage effort (override Col C)		408	PM Days
4080	441	734	1102	734	367	294	408		Stage Work Days		3,672	thru Deploy Days
100%	12%	20%	30%	20%	10%	8%			% stage duration			
730	88	146	219	146	73	58			Duration in days			
***************************************	63	105	158	105	54	41	521		Net Work Days			
****										resource pools:	1	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		Percentage Total		Roles	
	441	734	1,102	734	367	294	408	4,080	Workday Total		Vorkday	
	-	ı	-	1.0	1.5	3.5	-	3.5	Business Analyst	various		
		ı		1	-	,	0.5	0.5	Project Manager	various		
-	0.5	1	0.5	15	0.5	1	-	-1 Un	Application Designer	various		
- 1	- 1					,		i.	Configuration Manager	Env CoE		
		ı		1	'					Ě		
	- 0.5	- 0.5	- 2.0	- 0.5		1		2.0	Programmer	App Services		
	0					1		2.0 3.5	Programmer Test Lead & Tester			
	0.5	0.5 3	2.0	0.5	-			3		App Services		
	0.5 0.5	0.5 3.5	2.0 1.0	0.5 0.5		1		3.5	Test Lead & Tester Database Administrator/	App Software Services QA		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
	0.5 0.5 0.5	0.5 3.5 -	2.0 1.0 0.5	0.5 0.5 -		1		3.5 0.5	Test Lead & Tester Database Administrator/ Data Architect	App Software DBA Services QA CoE	FTE's	
	0.5 0.5 -	0.5 3.5	2.0 1.0 0.5 -	0.5 0.5	-	1.5		3.5 0.5 1.5	Test Lead & Tester Database Administrator/ Data Architect Technical Architect	App Software DBA II Services QA CoE SP&A	FIE's	
	0.5 0.5	0.5 3.5 0.5	2.0 1.0 0.5 - 0.5	0.5 0.5 1.0	1.0 1	1.5 -		3.5 0.5 1.5 1.0 1	Test Lead & Tester Database Administrator/ Data Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect	various App Software DBA Infrastru Services QA CoE SP&A cture	FIE's	
	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	0.5 3.5 0.5 0.5	2.0 1.0 0.5 - 0.5 0.5	0.5 0.5 1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0	1.5 - 0.5		3.5 0.5 1.5 1.0 1.0	Test Lead & Tester Database Administrator/ Data Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect	Various App Software DBA Infrastru App Services QA CoE SP&A cture Services	FIE's	
	0.5 0.5 0.5 -	0.5 3.5 0.5 0.5 -	2.0 1.0 0.5 - 0.5 0.5 -	0.5 0.5 1.0 1.0 -	1.0 1.0 0.5	1.5 - 0.5 -		3.5 0.5 1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5	Test Lead & Tester Database Administrator/ Data Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Operations	App Software DBA Infrastru App Services QA CoE SP&A cture Services Env CoE	FIE's	
	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 - 0.5	0.5 3.5 0.5 0.5 -	2.0 1.0 0.5 - 0.5 0.5	0.5 0.5 1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0 0.5 -	1.5 - 0.5		3.5 0.5 1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.5	Test Lead & Tester Database Administrator/ Data Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Operations Support Specialist Integration Solution	Natious Valrious Valr	FIE's	
	0.5 0.5 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 -	0.5 3.5 0.5 0.5	2.0 1.0 0.5 - 0.5 0.5	0.5 0.5 1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0 0.5	1.5 - 0.5		3.5 0.5 1.5 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.5 2.0	Test Lead & Tester Database Administrator/ Data Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Technical Architect Integration Solution Architect & Designer Human Performance Architect	Natious App Software DBA Infrastru App Env Services QA CoE SP&A cture Services Env CoE CoE various	FIE's	