PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY General Rate Case 2011 Phase I Application 09-12-020 Data Response

| PG&E Data Request No.: | DRA_220-03 | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| PG&E File Name: | GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_DRA_220-Q03 | | | |
| Request Date: | March 18, 2010 | Requester DR No .: | DRA-220-MCL | |
| Date Sent: | April 1, 2010 | Requesting Party: | DRA | |
| PG&E Witness: | Ezra C Garrett | Requester: | Mariana Campbell | |

DATA REQUEST NO: DRA-220-MCL

EXHIBIT REFERENCE: PG&E-6, CHAPTER 11

QUESTION 3

In reference to Exhibit PG&E-6 page 11-2 states that "most employees of PG&E Corporation transferred to the utility in 2009."

- a. How did PG&E determine that most employees in this department needed to be transferred to the utility side? Did PG&E use the time tracking system to determine their time allocation between utility and non-utility activities?
- b. Provide a list of those employees that were transferred from PG&E Corporation to the Utility, provide job title position and salary for those employees transferred in this department. Who do those employees transferred to the Utility side report to?
- c. Provide organization charts for this department, one showing number of employees per section before the transferred of employees took place and a second chart showing employees transferred.

ANSWER 3

a. The transfer of Corporation employees to the Utility (or Company) is described in Exhibit (PG&E-6), Chapter 3, page 3-3, line 26 to page 3-4, line 22. As indicated on page 3-4, "Transferring these employees from PG&E Corporation to the Company is consistent not only with PG&E Corporation's focus on the Company, but also with the reality of the employees' workload. For the vast majority of the transferred employees, virtually all of their workload concerns Company operations and services." This language is consistent with the transfer of employees within Public Affairs, since the workload of these employees is performed almost exclusively for the Utility. PG&E did not use the time tracking system to determine the allocation between utility and non-utility activities. As

Page 1

indicated in response to question 2 of this data request, the time tracking system agreed to in the 2007 GRC was implemented to determine below-the-line expenses (which may be performed on behalf of the utility but excluded from the GRC forecast) vs. above-the-line expenses (which are performed on behalf of the utility and included in the GRC forecast).

b. Below is a list of positions in Public Affairs that transferred from PG&E Corporation to the Utility, the respective salaries and reporting information for those positions.

| | The FTE above reports to the SVP Public | Affairs | |
|---|---|------------------|---------|
| 1 | Director | \$ 150,708 | |
| • | Total of 1 FTE tranferred from Corp PCC 200 | 60 to Utility PC | C 10305 |
| - | The 8 FTEs above report to the SVP Publi | c Affairs | |
| 8 | Regulatory Analyst, Senior | \$ 92,149 | |
| 7 | Director's Assistant | \$ 62,476 | |
| 6 | Director's Assistant | \$ 57,819 | |
| 5 | Senior Director | \$ 201,094 | |
| 4 | Federal Government Relations, Manager | \$ 109,181 | |
| 3 | Federal Government Relations, Manager | \$ 125,804 | |
| 2 | Federal Government Relations, Manager | \$ 114,822 | |
| 1 | Federal Government Relations, Director | \$ 139,210 | |

c. The reporting structure and organization chart did not change as a result of Public Affairs employees moving from the Corporation to the Utility; the employees' costs are simply charged to a Utility provider cost center (PCC) instead of a Corporation PCC. This move from Corporation to the Utility is consistent with the reality of the employees' workload. The 2011 organization chart on WP 11-4 of (PG&E-6) is accurate for the reporting structure in place at the time of the employee transfer.