

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 4/15/2010 8:59:46 AM
To: Besa, Athena (ABesa@semprautilities.com)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd);
cyin@yinsight.net (cyin@yinsight.net); don.arambula@sce.com
(don.arambula@sce.com); Yamagata, Joy C. (JYamagata@semprautilities.com);
Fogel, Cathleen A. (cathleen.fogel@cpuc.ca.gov) [Redacted]
[Redacted] Osman, Ayat E.
(ayat.osman@cpuc.ca.gov); Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G. (zenaida.tapawan-
conway@cpuc.ca.gov); Premo, Anne W. (anne.premo@cpuc.ca.gov);
marian.brown@sce.com (marian.brown@sce.com); McKinley, Kevin C.
(KMckinley@semprautilities.com); Carol.Yin@sce.com (Carol.Yin@sce.com);
Paulo, Lisa (lisa.paulo@cpuc.ca.gov); Wellner, Pamela
(pamela.wellner@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: CPUC En Div staff response to SDG&E and SoCalGas Comments on the PPM
Template

hi, everyone. it has taken us a bit of time to get all the right heads to focus on your analysis and suggested resolution on the PPMs.

Attached is our re-worked version, with a color coding system described in the upper left corner for how we view the possible metrics.

We elected not to use your approach to scoring each metric against a set of guidelines from the September decision. So, we have eliminated those columns. We have not changed the order or rows, so if for some reason you need to recapture your "Comments" column, you can paste that back in.

Please take a look at this, and if there is a need for further discussion or resolution, let's look for a conference call next week.

best regards,

[Redacted]

From: Besa, Athena
[mailto:ABesa@semprautilities.com]

Sent: Fri 3/26/2010 6:40

AM

To: Osman, Ayat E.;

Redacted

Cc: Yamagata, Joy C.;

Don.Arambula@sce.com; SRRd@pge.com; cyin@yinsight.net; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida
G. Carol Yin@sce.com; McKinley, Kevin C.; Premo, Anne W.; Marian.Brown@sce.com;

Redacted

Subject: SDG&E and SoCalGas Comments on the PPM
Template

Ayat, thank you for forwarding the information to us.
We have reviewed this internally and offer the following comments/questions
assuming that the other statewide program PPMs are expected to be at the same
level as the PPMs laid out for RNC in this example:

- The

RNC template contained about 76 program metrics. This is an inordinate amount of metrics for just one subprogram in one SW program. If this is the expected metrics, then the total number when extracted for the entire portfolio becomes unreasonable, impractical, and potentially cost prohibitive. What is the process for determining the final and appropriate list of metrics by program, are the guidelines in the decision the means by which this will be done?

- One

of the criteria in the decision attachment states that trade-offs between quality of metrics versus cost should be considered. Should up front cost estimations be done to provide this information for decision making.

- It may also be useful to determine who would benefit from knowing the metric on a regular basis. For example, would the following information be meaningful to managers, policy makers and other relevant stakeholders when collected on a regular basis or is it sufficient to document this in a process evaluation or EM&V report on the program?

- Number of Marketing Materials that have information on CAHP as well as NSHP.

- Number of new training courses at Energy Centers resulting from RNC efforts.

- Number of meeting with local governments to expedite permitting processes for CAHP.

- Some of the metrics in the template can be easily collected and reported as part of process evaluations or the LI evaluations as in the example above. It is important that these considerations be made when determining what should be tracked by the IOUs or accomplished through EM&V. This would also determine the regularity of reporting the metrics.

- For market transformation metrics, we urge that metrics be selected on the basis of the commitment to continue tracking these metrics for the long-term and not just this cycle.

- At the upcoming meetings, it appears that much time will be dedicated to sharing "lessons learned" from the 2006-2008 EM&V studies, is there an expectation that IOUs and ED will work together to develop the final metrics at these meetings or some time later?

We look forward to meeting with you all in the upcoming weeks.

From: Osman, Ayat E.
[mailto:ayat.osman@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 15, 2010 3:39 PM
To: Carol.Yin@sce.com; cyin@yinsight.net
Cc: Yamagata, Joy C.; Don.Arambula@sce.com; SRRd@pge.com; Besa, Athena; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.; Clinton, Jeanne
Subject: PPM Template

Hi Carol,
all

Please see the attached PPM documents; included are two documents:

1. Blank PPM Template (three taps)
2. Illustrative example (RNC program) using the template (three taps)

Please make sure

to read the "data dictionary" tab first as it includes definitions and examples and clarifications on how to fill the PPM table..

Please review and let me know if you have any questions/comments..

Kindest
Regards,

Ayat Osman,
PhD

Energy Efficiency Section

California Public Utilities
Commission

Phone (415)
703-5953

e-mail aeo@cpuc.ca.gov