

From: Lai, Peter

Sent: 5/21/2010 2:49:05 PM

ABesa@SempraUtilities.com (ABesa@SempraUtilities.com); Mark McNulty (markmcnulty@sbcglobal.net); don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com);

To:

Redacted

EKirchhoff@semprautilities.com (EKirchhoff@semprautilities.com);
CHarmstead@semprautilities.com (CHarmstead@semprautilities.com); Darren
(Darren.Hanwav@sce.com); Redacted

Redacted

Redacted marian.brown@sce.com

(marian.brown@sce.com); shahana.samiullah@sce.com
(shahana.samiullah@sce.com); KMcKinley@semprautilities.com

(KMcKinley@semprautilities.com); Redacted

LSidibe@semprautilities.com (LSidibe@semprautilities.com); Redacted

Redacted

Matthew.Evans@sce (Matthew.Evans@sce.com); Redacted

Redacted; Martin.Vu@sce.com (Martin.Vu@sce.com); Paul

Redacted KValenzuela@semprautilities.com

(KValenzuela@semprautilities.com); Peter Ford (PFord@semprautilities.com);

Redacted

Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Tapawan-
Conway, Zenaida G. (zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov);
steve.galanter@sce.com (steve.galanter@sce.com); Walsh, Natalie
(natalie.walsh@cpuc.ca.gov); Steven.Long@sce.com (Steven.Long@sce.com)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: RE: ED-Utilities non-DEER process meeting notice-Wednesday May 26th

Hi Mark,

Due to time constraint, this call is not intended for the activity you described below. However a separate call as you suggested should be held.

Thanks,

Peter

From: Mark McNulty [mailto:markmcnulty@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2010 2:26 PM
To: Lai, Peter; abesa@semprautilities.com; [Redacted]; [Redacted]
CHarmstead@semprautilities.com; Darren; Don.Arambula@sce.com; EKirchhoff@semprautilities.com;
[Redacted]; [Redacted]; [Redacted]; [Redacted]; KMckinley@semprautilities.com;
[Redacted]; KValenzuela@semprautilities.com; LSidibe@semprautilities.com;
Marian.Brown@sce.com; Martin.Vu@sce.com; Matthew.Evans@sce.com; [Redacted]; [Redacted];
Peter Ford; [Redacted]; Shahana.Samiullah@sce.com; [Redacted]; [Redacted]
SRRd@pge.com; Steve.Galanter@sce.com; Steven.Long@sce.com; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.;
Walsh, Natalie
Subject: Re: ED-Utilities non-DEER process meeting notice-Wednesday May 26th

Peter - as an additional agenda item we should discuss what the ED's expectations are for next steps now that the HIMs have been reviewed and ED's consultants have made recommendations. It should be noted that the IOUs may not agree with some recommendations. In fact ED may not expect the IOUs to implement all of the recommendations. Direction on this issue will help minimize confusion down-the-road.

If this call is not intended for that activity, we probably should schedule one to do this type of follow-up.

Thanks

----- Original Message -----

From: Lai, Peter

To: abesa@semprautilities.com; [Redacted]; [Redacted]
CHarmstead@semprautilities.com; Darren; Don.Arambula@sce.com;
EKirchhoff@semprautilities.com; [Redacted]; [Redacted]; [Redacted]
[Redacted]; KMcKinley@semprautilities.com; [Redacted];
KValenzuela@semprautilities.com; LSidibe@semprautilities.com;
Marian.Brown@sce.com; markmcnulty@sbcglobal.net; Martin.Vu@sce.com;
Matthew.Evans@sce.com; [Redacted]; [Redacted]; Peter Ford; [Redacted];
Shahana.Samiullah@sce.com; [Redacted]; [Redacted]; SRRd@pge.com;
Steve.Galanter@sce.com; Steven.Long@sce.com; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.;
Walsh, Natalie

Sent: Friday, May 21, 2010 1:46 PM

Subject: ED-Utilities non-DEER process meeting notice-Wednesday May 26th

ED-Utilities

Non-DEER Review Meeting

Monday, April 12, 2010, 3:30-5:00 PM

Call-in No:

Participant code:

Proposed Agenda

- Utilities to raise what is not clear about the Phase 2 review process under the November 18, 2009 ALJ ruling.
- Receive/discuss utilities' comments on the custom application review process protocol (I will send out this draft protocol to the utilities on Monday).

Thank you, and have a nice weekend.

Peter