

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: 5/19/2010 6:27:48 PM
To: 'Roscow, Steve' (steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov); Weisz, Dawn (DWeisz@co.marin.ca.us)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Edits to letter to 6000

Hi Steve and Dawn,
Attached is a clean copy of PG&E's edits to this letter (the track changes was getting a little overwhelming). I'd be happy to discuss more, but in a nutshell, we tried to keep this letter very factual and keep the focus on addressing those issues that were raised in the May 4th letter, as Steve suggests is a priority to MEA in his email below. Hopefully we've achieved that; let me know your thoughts and if/when you'd like to discuss further. Thanks,
Trina

From: Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2010 2:06 PM
To: Horner, Trina; Weisz, Dawn
Subject: Edits to letter to 6000

Trina, Dawn—

At the direction of Paul and Brian, I've been asked to "hurry up" on finalizing the letter that will be sent to all the customers to whom PG&E sent its May 4 letter. Just to put you both on the same footing, let me clarify that CPUC has received first drafts from both PG&E and MEA. The attached contains my edits of the MEA letter. Trina, just so PG&E folks understand, the most important aspect of this letter from MEA's perspective is that it correct the mis-statements in the May 4 letter. MEA does NOT want this to essentially serve as yet another explanation to customer of how they can opt-out. That information, I believe PG&E has agreed with Paul, should be reserved for the 2 remaining official mailers that MEA will be sending in the next 60 days. That's why I didn't start with the PG&E version.

Finally, Paul suggests that the letter be sent, and signed, by all 3 entities: CPUC, PG&E, MEA. That said, I'd like the 3 of us to work thru the edits to this draft and come up with something we can recommend to Paul and Brian.

Let me know next steps. I'm free late today, and most of tomorrow.

Steve Roscow

CPUC Energy Division

415-703-1189