

From: Gandesbery, Mary (Law)
Sent: 5/28/2010 11:11:40 AM
To: Farrar, Darwin (darwin.farrar@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: A.09-09-021 Proposed Decision

Dear Judge Farrar:

I am confirming our discussion earlier today. PG&E withdraws the request in my e-mail below. We understand that the final version of the decision will exclude information the Commission deems confidential.

Have a good weekend.

Mary Gandesbery | Attorney | *Pacific Gas and Electric Company* | 77 Beale Street | San Francisco, CA 94105
tel: 415.973.0675 | fax: 415.973.5520 | email: magq@pge.com

From: Farrar, Darwin [mailto:darwin.farrar@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 2:13 PM
To: Gandesbery, Mary (Law)
Subject: RE: A.09-09-021 Proposed Decision

Ms. Gandesbery,

I've called several times and left a message for you. Please call me immediately to discuss the email below.

Thank you,

Darwin E. Farrar

Administrative Law Judge

California Public Utilities Commission

From: Gandesbery, Mary (Law) [mailto:MAGq@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 11:41 AM
To: Farrar, Darwin
Subject: A.09-09-021 Proposed Decision

Dear Judge Farrar:

PG&E is very appreciative of your efforts yesterday to remove the confidential weighting of the evaluation criteria from the proposed decision. We reviewed the replacement proposed decision and found the weightings are still in the proposed decision on page 20 in two places. At the top of the page 20, there is an identification of the 2.5% weighting and in footnote 45 there is a mention of the portfolio fit weighting.

PG&E requests that these items be removed from the proposed decision and that a version that only includes public information be posted on the Commission's website.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Mary Gandesbery | Attorney | *Pacific Gas and Electric Company* | 77 Beale Street | San Francisco, CA 94105
tel: 415.973.0675 | fax: 415.973.5520 | email: magq@pge.com