## PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 2011 General Rate Case Application 09-12-020 Data Request

Recipient:	Division of Ratepayer Advocates			
PG&E Data Request No.:	PGE_DRA023			
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_PGE_DRA023			
Request Date:	May 13, 2010	PG&E Witness:	Redacted	
Due Date:	May 27, 2010	PG&E Witness Phone No.:		
Topic:	Electric Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expenses			

Subject: MWC GA, Test and Treat, Restoration and Joint Utilities Coordination

Reference: Exhibit DRA-5

DRA Witness: Tamera Godfrey

Q1: In DRA-5, pg. 42 line 11 DRA states "PG&E's reduction in its pole restoration work...in 2009 is deferred maintenance of normal routine activities."

- 1. Please describe how it was determined to be deferred maintenance and cite all instances where PG&E states that work has been "deferred"?
- 2. In the instances in which work is reprioritized to do emergency work, to address critical safety issues, or to fulfill new business needs, is it the DRA's opinion that PG&E move those to a lower priority in order that it do all the work exactly as requested in the rate case? And if so which type of safety issues would DRA determine to be the lowest priority?