

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**  
**2011 General Rate Case**  
**Application 09-12-020**  
**Data Request**

Recipient:	Division of Ratepayer Advocates		
PG&E Data Request No.:	PGE_DRA024		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_PGE_DRA024		
Request Date:	May 13, 2010	PG&E Witness:	Redacted
<b>Due Date:</b>	May 27, 2010	PG&E Witness Phone No.:	
Topic:	Electric Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expenses		

Subject: MWCs: BK-Maintenance of Other Equipment, BF-Line Patrols and Inspections, and BG-Preventative maintenance & Equipment Repairs

Reference: Exhibit DRA-5

DRA Witness: Tamera Godfrey

Questions:

1. Throughout DRA-5, DRA indicates PG&E recorded amounts (e.g., PG&E 2009 recorded) and reflects the results of calculations (e.g., variance amount from “value 1” to “value 2”, % difference). The recorded amounts for some references differ from the reports/responses which PG&E’s submitted and/or the calculations are not correct. Please confirm and clarify (or correct) the following DRA-5 references:
  - a. DRA-5, page 7, Table 5-1 – “Percentage PG&E>DRA (e=d/c)”. For all line items shown on the table, shouldn’t the calculation be (e=d/b)? For example for Patrols and Inspections, the indicated percentage is 22.53% (\$7,487 / \$33,225). Shouldn’t this percentage be 18.39% (\$7,487 / \$40,712)?
  - b. DRA-5, page 12, line 3 – DRA indicates an increase of \$27,464 million. Shouldn’t this amount be \$27.468 million (\$127.579 - \$100.111)?
  - c. DRA-5, page 13, Table 5-2, source data request DRA-122-CKT for the 2009 recorded amounts for BK, BF, BG, and Total are \$(2,743), \$30,238, \$52,258, and \$79,753, respectively. The 2009 recorded amounts per Table 5-2 do not agree to the source data request. Please explain why.

- d. DRA-5, page 13, footnote 16 – DRA indicates the Exhibit (PG&E-2) for Transformers scrapped. PG&E believes DRA meant to reflect (PG&E-3). Please confirm.
- e. DRA-5, page 16, Table 5-3, lines 4-5 – For years 2004, 2005, and 2007 the total dollars do not agree to Exhibit (PG&E-3), Chapter 2, Workpapers Page WP 2-21; and for 2009 recorded, the total MWC BG amount does not agree to DRA-122-CKT. Please explain why, and/or correct.
- f. DRA-5, page 16, lines 5-7 states “Note that PG&E only provided the 2009 recorded expenses by MWC total and did not provide any expense totals broken down by the individual line items that are included in the MWCs.” PG&E provided the 2009 recorded totals by maintenance activity in various data requests. See PG&E’s responses to DRA-186, questions 2a, 2d, 2l, 2m, 2u, 3j, and 3p, served by DRA on March 9, 2010. In light of these responses, is DRA willing to revise the above-quoted statement?
- g. Based on anticipated corrected totals in DRA-5, page 16, Table 5-3, please confirm/correct the following within DRA’s testimony:
  - i. DRA-5, page 16, lines 14-18 – “PG&E’s five year average (2004-2008) for MWC BF is \$26.608 million. PG&E three year average (2006-2008) is \$29.204 million. PG&E’s 2009 recorded adjusted expenses of \$29.268 million (which is comparable to PG&E’s three year average), are \$6.151 million less than PG&E’s 2009 forecast of \$35.419 million and is \$3.957 million less than 2008 recorded adjusted”
  - ii. DRA-5, page 17, lines 2 – “... 2007 recorded adjusted expenses by \$5.007 million or 17.74%.”
  - iii. DRA-5, page 17, line 16 – “...expenses of \$29.268 million was \$6.151 million less than its 2009 forecast of...”
- h. DRA-5, page 28, Table 5-4, line 5 – The table source references data request DRA-206-TLG, question 1-c, however, each line item activity for the 2009 recorded per table 5-4 does not agree to the information provided in that data request. The data for years 2004 – 2008 and forecast 2011 are in nominal dollars. Please correct the discrepancies in the 2009 recorded data.
- i. Based on anticipated corrected totals in DRA-5, page 28, Table 5-4, please confirm/correct the following:
  - i. DRA-5, page 28, lines 7-9 – “...PG&E’s recorded adjusted 2009 expense of \$50.642 million is \$7.937 million less than its 2009 forecasted expense. PG&E’s 2009 recorded adjusted expenses is also \$12.135 million...”

- ii. DRA-5, page 29, line 12-13 – “...2007 and 2008 historical expenses for the MWC and is \$10.832 million more than PG&E’s 2009 recorded adjusted expense of \$50.642 million.”
- iii. DRA-5, pages 33, 34, lines 24, 1, respectively – “...PG&E’s 2009 recorded adjusted expense of \$5.012 million is \$2.525 million less than its 2008 recorded adjusted expenses.”