

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
General Rate Case 2011 Phase I
Application 09-12-020
Data Request

Recipient:	Mariana Campbell		
PG&E Data Request No.:	PGE_DRA016		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_PGE_DRA016		
Request Date:	May 14, 2010	PG&E Witness:	Katherine Bird
Due Date:	May 28, 2010	PG&E Witness Phone No.:	(415) 973-0727
Topic:	Regulatory Relations Department Costs		

Subject: Regulatory Relations Department Costs

Reference: Exhibit DRA-12 and Exhibit DRA-12-WP

DRA Witness: Mariana Campbell

Q1: In DRA's Testimony (Ex. DRA-12, page 3), Table 12-1 shows the Regulatory Relations Dept. percentage figure as 14%, but PG&E's calculation yields 12%. Please clarify if the 14% figure is a typographical error and, if not, how DRA calculated the 14% figure.

Q2: In DRA's Testimony (Ex. DRA-12, page 29, line 12), should the word "lower" be "higher"? If not, please clarify which figures DRA is relying on for its statement.

Q3: In DRA's Workpapers (Ex. DRA-12-WP, pages G, G-1, G-2, and G-3) there are handwritten notes, but these are not legible. Please provide PG&E with a copy of these pages in which the handwritten notes are legible.

Q4: In DRA's Workpapers (Ex. DRA-12-WP, page L), Exhibit 12 shows under the heading named "Acct 921", for Regulatory Relations in the column titled "2005", a figure of \$21,791. Please clarify if the \$21,791 is a typographical error and, if not, how DRA established this figure. PG&E's Workpapers show a figure of \$216,791. If there is a typographical error, please clarify if the Total of \$606,988 will change. PG&E's Workpapers show a Total of \$801,988.

Q5: In DRA's Workpapers (Ex. DRA-12-WP, page L), Exhibit 12, shows a column titled "DRA Base Year" and "PG&E Base Year". Please clarify how each of the numbers under these columns was calculated and its derivation.