

Scenario for IOU funding requests	DR Application Integration funding request	EE Application Integration funding request	Pros	Cons
1	DR funds for integration for 2012-2014	EE funds for integration for 2013-2015	- Requires least effort (?)	- Funding request for DR portion of integrated activities will not be informed by the current integration activities that are running through 2012 - Integrated activities will continue to have non-synched funding
2	DR funds for integration for 2012 only in application for DR 2012-2014	DR funds for integration for 2013-2014 EE funds for 2013-2015	- Will allow integrated activities to continue in 2012 - Will enable IOUs to use information from integration efforts from 2010-2012 to make requests for 2013 on	- For local marketing and emerging technologies, IOUs will have to separate integrated activities from DR only activities so they can so they can request funding for DR activities from 2012-2014 - This sets up a pattern of always needing to have a bridge funding year for DR portion of integrated activities
3	DR funds for integration for 2012 only in application for DR 2012-2014, with a clause indicating all future DR integration activities will be approved through EE program funding cycles	DR and EE funds for integration for 2013-2015	- Will allow integrated activities to continue in 2012 - Will enable IOUs to use information from integration efforts from 2010-2012 to make requests for 2013 on - Will synch funding for integrated activities for all future integration cycles	- For local marketing and emerging technologies, IOUs will have to separate integrated activities from DR only activities so they can so they can request funding for DR activities from 2012-2014 - Integrated activities will be off cycle with all other DR activities - Adds to the list of different filings through which IOUs request funding for DR

4	All DR programs and activities requested for 2012-2015	EE funds for 2013-2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Will synch funding for integrated activities - Will synch DR portfolio with integrated activities - provides a longer term certainty for DR programs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Funding request for DR portion of integrated activities will not be informed by the current integration activities that are running through 2012 - Assumes the timing of the next EE Application will stay on track - 4 year cycle may necessitate additional flexibility to make adjustments to programs- (PG&E)
5	All DR programs and activities have a year of bridge funding in 2012 and then IOUs apply for DR programs for 2013-2015	EE funds for 2013-2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Will allow integrated activities to continue in 2012 - Will enable IOUs to use information from integration efforts from 2010-2012 to make requests for 2013 on -Will synch DR portfolio with integrated activities - Could benefit entire DR portfolio by giving IOUs 2 summers worth of programs (2010 and 2011) to inform 2013-2015 - Will provide IOUs with more time to develop programs relevant to: PLS study (12/10), Phase IV decision (3/11), PDR filing, Auto DR study- (SCE) - May reduce likelihood that IOUs will have to file a petition to modify based on conclusions from the above activities- (SCE) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assumes the timing of the next EE Application will stay on track - Creates additional two complex filings for IOUs- instead of one (PG&E) -May delay new DR programs to 2013 rather than 2012 (PG&E)

** This table may not represent all of the options, or pros and cons that the Energy Division will consider