

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
Independent Evaluator Re-Evaluation Questionnaire  
For 2010 Assessment**

<b>Name of Evaluator</b>		
<b>Name of IE Firm</b>		
<b>IE Engagements (e.g. "2007 RPS Solicitation"). Add more lines as necessary. (To Be Filled in by PG&amp;E)</b>	<b>Cost Per Engagement (To Be Filled in by PG&amp;E)</b>	

**Section I**

Assessment of Independent Evaluator – Specific Criteria

<b>Instructions:</b> For each question, indicate your response by marking an X to the left of your response or circling your response. At the end of each criteria, you also have the opportunity to provide any written comments as it pertains to that criteria.					
<b>Criteria: Fairness</b>					
<i>The IE exercised impartiality in his or her assessment of the RFO or bilateral contracting process and does not have any financial interest in the potential bidders</i>					
<b>Question 1</b>	<i>The IE demonstrated neutrality in his or her review of and recommendations concerning the RFO process, RFO bid assessments, or final contracts executed through the RFO or non-RFO process.</i>				
Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
<b>Question 2</b>	<i>The IE either fully disclosed any financial interest he or she has in the outcome of an RFO or bilateral negotiation or did not otherwise demonstrate any financial interest in the projects at issue in an RFO or bilateral negotiation that he or she was involved in.</i>				
Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
<b>Question 3</b>	<i>The IE's comments in his or her reports or PRG presentations did not indicate any bias for or against any party or interest.</i>				
Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
<b>Please add your additional comments about the IE regarding fairness.</b>					

<b>Criteria: Thoroughness</b>					
<i>The IE assessed all relevant information to provide a reliable and complete report.</i>					
<b>Question 1</b>	<i>The IE's comments and conclusions in his or her reports or PRG presentations indicated that he or she considered all information provided to him or her.</i>				
Strongly Agree    Somewhat Agree    Neutral    Somewhat Disagree    Strongly Disagree    No Opinion					
<b>Question 2</b>	<i>The IE provided clear and well-supported conclusions.</i>				
Strongly Agree    Somewhat Agree    Neutral    Somewhat Disagree    Strongly Disagree    No Opinion					
<b>Question 3</b>	<i>The IE performed appropriate assessments of the information provided and used the criteria set forth in the appropriate protocols or CPUC decisions (related to the criteria for the RFO or non-RFO bilateral).</i>				
Strongly Agree    Somewhat Agree    Neutral    Somewhat Disagree    Strongly Disagree    No Opinion					
<b>Please add your additional comments about the IE regarding thoroughness.</b>					



<b>Criteria: Availability</b>					
<i>The IE was available or made him or herself available to discuss the RFO process, bids, contract negotiations, and PRG presentations.</i>					
<b>Question 1</b>	<i>The IE was flexible and made himself or herself to meet with the appropriate parties (e. g. PRG or PRG members)</i>				
Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
<b>Question 2</b>	<i>The IE was responsive to requests for information or in answering questions on a timely basis.</i>				
Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Opinion
<b>Please add your additional comments about the IE regarding availability.</b>					

<b>Criteria: Expertise</b>					
<i>The IE demonstrated and applied an in-depth knowledge of the subject he or she was assessing to produce a reliable report.</i>					
<b>Question 1</b>	<i>The IE was demonstrated technical expertise in his or her ability to evaluate an RFO or bilateral contract.</i>				
Strongly Agree    Somewhat Agree    Neutral    Somewhat Disagree    Strongly Disagree    No Opinion					
<b>Question 2</b>	<i>The IE was familiar with evaluation and analytical techniques necessary to perform an independent evaluation in the RFO process or in bilateral negotiations.</i>				
Strongly Agree    Somewhat Agree    Neutral    Somewhat Disagree    Strongly Disagree    No Opinion					
<b>Question 3</b>	<i>The IE demonstrated a firm grasp of both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the RFO or bilateral negotiation evaluation process.</i>				
Strongly Agree    Somewhat Agree    Neutral    Somewhat Disagree    Strongly Disagree    No Opinion					
<b>Please add your additional comments about the IE regarding expertise.</b>					



<b>Criteria: Communications</b>					
<i>The IE was clear and complete with her or her comments.</i>					
<b>Question 1</b>	<i>The IE's reports or PRG presentations were clear and complete and addressed the concerns and interests of the PRG.</i>				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	No Opinion				
<b>Question 2</b>	<i>The IE's reports that were included in CPUC filings (i.e., advice letters, applications, etc.) were clear and complete.</i>				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	No Opinion				
<b>Question 3</b>	<i>The IE was able to effectively communicate orally at PRG meetings.</i>				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	No Opinion				
<b>Please add your additional comments about the IE regarding communications.</b>					





<b>Criteria: Cost</b>					
<i>The IE's costs were reasonable.</i>					
<b>Question 1</b>	<i>The IE's hourly billing rate appeared to be reasonable and appropriate.</i>				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	No Opinion				
<b>Question 2</b>	<i>The amount of time the IE spent on specific tasks or assignments appeared to be reasonable and appropriate.</i>				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	No Opinion				
<b>Question 3</b>	<i>The costs included in the IE's bills (e.g., travel, copying costs, etc.) appeared to be reasonable and appropriate.</i>				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	No Opinion				
<b>Please add your additional comments about the IE regarding Cost</b>					



<b>Criteria: Added Value</b>					
<i>The IE demonstrated that him or her added value to the procurement process or product (e. g. specific bilateral review).</i>					
<b>Question 1</b>	<i>The IE's reports and presentations to the PRG were helpful in reviewing PG&amp;E's procurement activities.</i>				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	No Opinion				
<b>Question 2</b>	<i>The IE's reports filed at the Commission were useful and helpful to parties participating in the proceeding and the Commission.</i>				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	No Opinion				
<b>Question 3</b>	<i>The IE provided helpful advice or comments on how PG&amp;E could improve its procurement processes.</i>				
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neutral	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	No Opinion				
<b>Please add your additional comments about the IE regarding Added Value</b>					



**Section II  
Recommendation**

**Please provide any additional comments and your recommendation about retention of the IE in PG&E's IE Pool.**

**Reviewer's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Representing:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Review:** \_\_\_\_\_