

From: Roscow, Steve
Sent: 5/13/2010 3:31:04 PM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
Redacted
Cc: Velasquez, Carlos A. (carlos.velasquez@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted
Redacted
Bcc:
Subject: SUPPLEMENT RE: Energy Division data request attached

Hi again, Redacted

Redacted

Thanks again--

Steve

From: Roscow, Steve
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 10:20 AM
To: Redacted; Horner, Trina
Cc: Redacted Velasquez, Carlos A.
Subject: RE: Energy Division data request attached

Redacted

Steve

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 8:40 AM
To: Roscow, Steve; Horner, Trina
Cc: [Redacted]; Velasquez, Carlos A.
Subject: RE: Energy Division data request attached

Proprietary and Confidential under PU Code 583 Do Not Disclose

Hi Steve,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

From: Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2010 9:04 PM
To: [Redacted] Horner, Trina
Cc: [Redacted]; Velasquez, Carlos A.
Subject: RE: Energy Division data request attached

Hi again [Redacted]

Thank you--this is very helpful in terms of explaining what is going on from 2010 to 2011.

[Redacted]

Redacted

From: Redacted
Sent: Tue 5/11/2010 7:00 PM
To: Roscow, Steve; Horner, Trina
Cc: Redacted Velasquez, Carlos A.
Subject: RE: Energy Division data request attached

Proprietary and Confidential under PU Code 583 Do Not Disclose

Hi Steve,

As promised attached is the revised gen rates table. Please let me know if you have any other questions.

Redacted

From: Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 4:30 PM
To: [Redacted]; Horner, Trina
Cc: [Redacted]; Velasquez, Carlos A.
Subject: RE: Energy Division data request attached

[Redacted]

First, I've been trying "cc" [Redacted] who seems to have an e-mail similar to "[Redacted]
[Redacted]" so I apologize for any confusion on that score. I've dropped him from this note.

Second, we still seem to be talking past each other a bit. My Question 3, a. says "Please provide a full rate model, in the form of an Excel spreadsheet or spreadsheets that fully documents the calculation of a 2011 generation rate that is 6% lower than 8.15 cents/kWh, i.e., 7.66 cents per kWh."

[Redacted]

Could you at least tell me where I can find the values you have provided, so I can check them myself? Otherwise, I'll go ahead and contact the Sausalito Council and tell them that the Commission staff cannot verify the statements made by [Redacted] at the meeting that we attended several weeks ago. If I attend a meeting like that and PG&E misspeaks, I have to correct it—I can't just sit there and let it pass.

This really should not be so difficult to sort out.

Steve

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2010 5:25 PM
To: Roscow, Steve; Horner, Trina
Cc: [Redacted]; Velasquez, Carlos A.
Subject: RE: Energy Division data request attached

Proprietary and Confidential under PU Code 583 Do Not Disclose

Steve,

Please find attached PG&E's response to your data request sent on April 28, 2010. The response includes the response template and three additional attachments, which correspond to your specific questions.

Let me know if you have any other questions.

Thanks,

Redacted

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Regulatory Relations**

Redacted

From: Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2010 1:27 PM
To: Horner, Trina; Redacted
Cc: Redacted; Velasquez, Carlos A.
Subject: Energy Division data request attached

Hi Trina and Redacted

I've attached a data request seeking details around a recent discussion of PG&E's past and future generation rates before the Sausalito City Council. Redacted was able to get us some info informally, but I think we need to go formal since this topic keeps coming up in various cities in Marin.

Since the material we are requesting seems to already exist, we are seeking a response in one week, by May 5.

Thank you,

Steve Roscow

CPUC Energy Division

415-703-1189