

From: Redacted
Sent: 5/26/2010 9:26:04 AM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
beth@beth411.com (beth@beth411.com); mpa@a-klaw.com (mpa@a-klaw.com);
dws@r-c-s-inc.com (dws@r-c-s-inc.com); jbloom@winston.com
(jbloom@winston.com); Gandesbery, Mary (Law)
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MAGq); mflorio@turn.org
(mflorio@turn.org); gbaker@sempra.com (gbaker@sempra.com);
Marci.Palmstrom@sce.com (Marci.Palmstrom@sce.com);
sgarcia@semprautilities.com (sgarcia@semprautilities.com); Strauss, Todd
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=TxSq); Kuga, Roy M
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RMK4); carol.schmidfrazee@sce.com
(carol.schmidfrazee@sce.com); lms@cpuc.ca.gov (lms@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Arbitration discussion with Julie/Frank

Steven and I plan to be there. Thank you for setting this up. Kerner.

In a message dated 5/25/2010 8:55:57 P.M. Pacific Standard Time, TNHc@pge.com writes:

All,
Following
up on your joint scheduling efforts, I've reserved Tuesday next week, June 1st from 10-11 am to discuss the arbitration process and schedule with Julie and Frank. Logistically, we have in mind for this meeting something very similar to the first such discussion with them - those who can will participate in person and others will be connected by phone.

I need to confirm this date and time with Frank and Julie -- their schedules are very packed -- so please let me know asap if this absolutely will NOT work for your organization. I will assume if I don't hear from you by Noon on Wednesday that it does work. Keep in mind Monday is a holiday and it is probably better to meet with them sooner rather than later to finalize the arbitration process, given the early June milestones you all have identified.

I believe I have included at least one person from

each Summit organization on this email. Please forward to anyone I've missed and shouldn't have.

Thanks,

Trina