

From: Ludemann, Doreen (Law
Sent: 5/28/2010 4:33:32 AM
To: 'Fulcher, Jack' (jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted] Allen,
Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Supplemental Affiliate Letter - PG&E Responses to Your Comments
Hi Jack,

As we talked about on the phone, I am planning to file another advice letter addressing the issues we went over. I haven't yet gotten the descriptions of the CSI administration procedures that some of our CSI people agreed to write for me; I made follow-up calls on Wednesday and am promised them by Tuesday. I plan to get you the new advice letter next week. I understand the current advice letter is suspended, and will get you the revisions as soon as I can.

Thanks, and enjoy the Memorial Day weekend.

Doreen

From: Fulcher, Jack [mailto:jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 4:15 PM
To: Ludemann, Doreen (Law)
Cc: [Redacted] Allen, Meredith
Subject: RE: Supplemental Affiliate Letter - PG&E Responses to Your Comments

Hi, Linda and Doreen. I need to know whether to reject this advice letter - it certainly can't be accepted as it is. [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Otherwise, hope things are going well for you two. Let me know.....Jack

From: Ludemann, Doreen (Law) [mailto:DALA@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, May 07, 2010 3:46 PM
To: Fulcher, Jack
Cc: Jacobson, Erik; [Redacted] Allen, Meredith; Steel, Brian
Subject: Supplemental Affiliate Letter - PG&E Responses to Your Comments
Importance: High

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT TO PU CODE SECTION 583

Jack,

Thanks for your patience with our delay in getting back to you. Please see our responses to your comments and questions in red below. [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Please be aware that some of the information contained in this response is confidential (e.g., the potential for additional transactions and warrant discussion below) and that's the reason we are submitting this response to you pursuant to PU Code Section 583.

I hope this adequately answers your questions and please let me know if you need any additional information. I will call you on Monday to discuss this response and next steps. Are there some times when it would be convenient for us to talk?

Best regards,

Doreen

From: Fulcher, Jack [mailto:jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 05, 2010 4:45 PM

To: Redacted

Subject: RE: Supplemental Affiliate Letter - PG&E Draft for Your Comments

Hi Linda. Thanks for the draft amendment. I have the following responses/criticisms/comments/rude remarks (I'll just cut and paste, adding my stuff as we go along):

Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

Let me know if you want to talk about this thing further. Thanks for providing this draft; if you can answer my concerns outlined above, we won't have to reject the AL. Let me know, Linda. Jack 703-1711.

From: Redacted

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 2:44 PM

To: Fulcher, Jack

Subject: Supplemental Affiliate Letter - PG&E Draft for Your Comments

Importance: High

Hi Jack: Here is the letter I alluded to.

Waiting with bated breath to see if this supplemental addresses the concerns you voiced to me.

<<supplemental AL.doc>>

Redacted

Analysis and Rates

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted