PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY General Rate Case 2011 Phase I Application 09-12-020 Data Request

Recipient:	Division of Ratepayer Advocates		
PG&E Data Request No.:	PGE-DRA-007		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_PGE-DRA_007		
Request Date:	May 11, 2010	PG&E Witness:	Corey Wong
Due Date:	May 25, 2010	PG&E Witness Phone No.:	415-973-5377
Topic:	DRA-17 Section VI Real Estate		

Subject: Real Estate

Reference: Exhibit DRA-17

DRA Witness: Sophia Chia

Q1: DRA's 2011 forecast in Table 17-14 on page 34 of DRA-17 totals to \$13,655 rather than \$13,756 as shown in the Table. Please confirm the correct total for Table 17-14 and also correct Table 17-12 as appropriate.

Q2: Beginning on Line 16 of page 39, DRA accepts PG&E's expense forecast for the 111 Almaden Building project and recommends "normalizing" PG&E's 2011 to 2013 expense forecasts for test year 2011. Please explain DRA's rationale for normalizing PG&E's forecast for this project.

Q3: Beginning on Line 5 of Page 41, and again on Line 21 of Page 57, DRA states that it is "recommending the disallowance of some of PG&E's funding requests for additional staff in various areas of this 2011 GRC." Please provide the DRA testimony references for each disallowance that will reduce PG&E's General Office staff and DRA's workpapers showing how it determined each staff reduction affecting PG&E's General Office occupancy.

Q4: On Lines 17-20 of Page 41 and Lines 17-22 of Page 59, DRA refers to Exhibit DRA-9 and rejects PG&E's entire expense and capital forecasts for the Energy Procurement Expansion and Relocation Initiative. On Page WP 6-288 of the workpapers supporting PG&E-7, Chapter 6, PG&E states that the project includes approximately \$0.3 million capital and \$0.1 million expense in 2011 to relocate Energy Procurement's back-up trading operation from San Ramon to Fresno. On Lines 9-10 of Page 42 of DRA-9, DRA states that it "does not oppose PG&E's proposal to move its alternative headquarters for energy trading from San Ramon to Fresno." Please explain why \$100,000 expense and \$300,000 capital are not included in DRA's 2011 forecast for PG&E's Energy Procurement Expansion & Relocation Project in DRA-17.

- Q5: In Tables 17-20 and 17-21 on pages 51 and 52, DRA compares its 2009-2011 recommended capital forecasts for Real Estate with PG&E's forecasts for the same years. Please provide DRA's recommended capital forecasts for 2012 and 2013 for Real Estate using the same format as Table 17-20 and 17-21.
- Q6: On pages 58 and 59, DRA recommends "normalizing" its capital forecasts for the Data Center Replacement Project and the Distribution Control Center Consolidation Project. Please explain DRA's rationale.
- Q7: PG&E is unable to locate DRA's recommendation for the Rock Creek Yard Replacement Project in DRA-17. Please provide the location of DRA's recommended forecast for this project.