

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
2011 General Rate Case
Application 09-12-020
Data Request**

Recipient:	Division of Ratepayer Advocates		
PG&E Data Request No.:	PGE_DRA023		
PG&E File Name:	GRC2011-Ph-I_DR_PGE_DRA023		
Request Date:	May 13, 2010	PG&E Witness:	Redacted
Due Date:	May 27, 2010	PG&E Witness Phone No.:	Redacted
Topic:	Electric Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expenses		

Subject: MWC GA, Test and Treat, Restoration and Joint Utilities Coordination

Reference: Exhibit DRA-5

DRA Witness: Tamera Godfrey

Q1: In DRA-5, pg. 42 line 11 DRA states "PG&E's reduction in its pole restoration work...in 2009 is deferred maintenance of normal routine activities."

1. Please describe how it was determined to be deferred maintenance and cite all instances where PG&E states that work has been "deferred"?
2. In the instances in which work is reprioritized to do emergency work, to address critical safety issues, or to fulfill new business needs, is it the DRA's opinion that PG&E move those to a lower priority in order that it do all the work exactly as requested in the rate case? And if so which type of safety issues would DRA determine to be the lowest priority?